An improved mesh stiffness calculation model of spur gear pair under mixed EHL friction with spalling effect

Siyu Wang¹, Rupeng Zhu²

College of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing, 210016, China National Key Laboratory of Science and Technology on Helicopter Transmission, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing, 210016, China ²Corresponding author **E-mail:** ¹sywang1128@nuaa.edu.cn, ²rpzhu@nuaa.edu.cn

Received 20 August 2020; received in revised form 4 September 2020; accepted 11 September 2020 DOI https://doi.org/10.21595/vp.2020.21671

Check for updates

Copyright © 2020 Siyu Wang, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. Based on the "Potential energy method", the improved mesh stiffness calculation model of spur gear pair with actual involute and transition curve is proposed. Furthermore, the spalling effect, nonlinear contact stiffness, improved fillet-foundation stiffness, time-varying friction coefficient under mixed elasto-hydrodynamic lubrication (EHL) condition are assimilated into the model. Then the results of the improved mesh stiffness model are obtained by numerical simulation, in which the mesh stiffness under mixed EHL friction and the effect of height for spalled area on the mesh stiffness are comprehensively investigated.

Keywords: mesh stiffness, friction coefficient under EHL, nonlinear contact stiffness, improved fillet-foundation stiffness, spalling effect.

1. Introduction

Time-varying meshing stiffness (TVMS) is an important stimulating factor of the gear system. the vibration and noise of the gear system are greatly affected by the meshing stiffness. Therefore, in order to obtain the TVMS in accurate and efficient way, many improved and modified methods have been proposed. The analytical method to calculate the gear tooth deflections was proposed in [1]. The nonlinear Hertzian contact stiffness was proposed based on [2]. Yang et al. [3] studied gear impact dynamics with Hertzian damping, tooth friction, and bending stiffness. Zhu et al. [4] designed a program to predict the lubrication features, friction, surface stress and flash temperature based on measured 3D surface roughness. Castro et al. [5] obtained the coefficient of friction in mixed film lubrication based on the model of gears with discs. Chaari et al. [6] studied the mesh stiffness and dynamic response of a spur gear system under the effect of spalling and tooth breakage. Chen et al. [7] conducted dynamic simulation of spur gear, in which the tooth root crack propagates along tooth width and crack depth. Kiekbusch et al. [8] calculated the torsional mesh stiffness of spur gears based on 2D and 3D FE models. Chen et al. [9] conducted dynamic analysis for the spur gear with crack in the gear tooth, and the crack depth on the system response was also analyzed. Wan et al. [10] improved the calculation process of mesh stiffness, and the dynamic model of gear-rotor system was proposed considering tooth root crack. Ma et al. [11] proposed a dynamic model for cracked spur gear, then the time-varying stiffness was calculated based on the model. Wang et al. [12] built a model of spur gear pair with profile error, and then the mesh characteristics were studied. Liang et al. [13] evaluated the mesh stiffness of planetary gear set under the influence of crack. Saxena et al. [14,15] studied the influence of shaft misalignment and friction on the mesh stiffness of spur gear pair. Ma et al. proposed improved time-varying mesh stiffness model of spur gears under cracked effect [16], and with tip relief [17].

In the paper, the mesh stiffness calculation model of spur gear pair with spalling effect is proposed, in which the exact involute and transition curves of gear teeth, the nonlinear Hertzian contact stiffness, modified fillet-foundation stiffness, mixed EHL friction coefficient are fully considered.

2. Analytical method of TVMS for spur gear pair

The tooth profile of the spur gear enters and leaves contact simultaneously along the entire width of the end face. Therefore, when the tooth profile comes into and out of contact, this will cause sudden loading and unloading on the teeth. As a result, vibration and noise are generated.

The "potential energy method" [3,9] is applied in the study to obtain the TVMS of spur gear pair under mixed EHL friction excitation with spalling effects. The gear deformation consists of three parts of elastic deformation: 1. the bending deformation, shear deformation, and axial compression deformation of the tooth portion; 2. the base deformation of the transition fillet connected to the gear teeth; 3. the contact deformation between the tooth causing the contact stress. Therefore, the corresponding mesh stiffness components can be derived from the gear deformations.

2.1. Friction coefficients obtained by mixed elasto-hydrodynamic lubrication

Under mixed EHL condition, the synthesized coefficient of friction μ_{ML} can be obtained throught following expression [5]:

$$\mu_{ML} = (1 - f_a)\mu_{BL} + f_a\mu_{EL},\tag{1}$$

where, μ_{BL} , μ_{EL} indicate the coefficient of friction in the boundary film lubrication and full film lubrication, respectively. f_a represents the load distribution function, which determined by the specific lubricating film thickness between gear teeth. For the boundary film lubrication condition, the coefficient of friction is in the interval 0.08-0.13, and $\mu_{BL} = 0.1$ is adopted in the study.

For the full film lubrication condition [18], the coefficient of fiction can be calculated by:

$$\mu_{EL} = e^{f(SR,P_h,v_0,S)} P_h^{b_2} |SR|^{b_3} V_e^{b_6} v_0^{b_7} R^{b_8},\tag{2}$$

$$f(SR, P_h, v_0, S) = b_1 + b_4 |SR| P_h \log_{10}(v_0) + b_5 e^{-|SR| P_h \log_{10}(v_0)} + b_9 e^S,$$
(3)

where, b_i are constant coefficients that shown in Table 2, SR indicates the slide-roll ratio, P_h indicated the maximum Hertzian pressure, v_0 presents the absolute viscosity, and R denotes the effective curvature radius, v_e denotes the suction speed at the contact point.

2.2. Analytical method of gear tooth stiffness

The Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of spur gear tooth under meshing force, Fig. 2 shows the spur gear tooth with spalling effect. With angular displacement adopted, the tooth axial compressive stiffness, tooth bending stiffness, and tooth shear stiffness and can be expressed as:

$$\frac{1}{dk_{ta}} = \int_{\pi/2}^{\alpha_t} \frac{(\sin\alpha_1 \pm \mu \cos\alpha_1)^2}{EA_{x1}} \frac{dx_1}{d\gamma} d\gamma + \int_{\alpha_c}^{\alpha_1} \frac{(\sin\alpha_1 \pm \mu \cos\alpha_1)^2}{EA_{x2}} \frac{dx_2}{d\tau} d\tau,$$
(4)

$$\frac{1}{dk_{tb}} = \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi/2} \frac{[(\cos\alpha_1 \mp \mu \sin\alpha_1)(x_{\alpha 1} - x_1) - y_{\alpha 1}(\sin\alpha_1 \pm \mu \cos\alpha_1)]^2}{EI_{x1}} \frac{dx_1}{d\gamma} d\gamma$$
(5)

$$+ \int_{\alpha_{c}}^{\alpha_{1}} \frac{[(\cos\alpha_{1} \mp \mu \sin\alpha_{1})(x_{\alpha 1} - x_{2}) - y_{\alpha 1}(\sin\alpha_{1} \pm \mu \cos\alpha_{1})]^{2}}{EI_{x2}} \frac{dx_{2}}{d\tau} d\tau,$$
(5)

$$\frac{1}{dk_{ts}} = \int_{\pi/2}^{\alpha_t} \frac{1.2(\cos\alpha_1 \mp \mu \sin\alpha_1)^2}{GA_{x1}} \frac{dx_1}{d\gamma} d\gamma + \int_{\alpha_c}^{\alpha_1} \frac{1.2(\cos\alpha_1 \mp \mu \sin\alpha_1)^2}{GA_{x2}} \frac{dx_2}{d\tau} d\tau, \tag{6}$$

where, $x_{\alpha 1}$, $y_{\alpha 1}$, x_1 , y_1 , x_2 , y_2 can be described in function of angles α , γ , τ [16]. In the of double operators \pm and \mp in above expressions, the upper operators represent the approach process, the lower operators represent recess process. The cross-sectional area of spalling area can be

expressed as $A_s = (2 \cdot h_x \cdot L) - (h_s \cdot l_s)$, and the corresponding moment of inertia of the section can be calculated by $I_s = 1/12 \cdot [(2 \cdot h_x)^3 \cdot L - (h_s^3 \cdot l_s)]$.



Base circle Root circle Pitch circle Addendum circle

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of spur gear tooth under meshing force



Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of spur gear tooth with spalling effect and spalling parameters

2.3. Analytical method of nonlinear contact stiffness

Due to the nonlinear contact force between gear tooth, the Hertzian contact stiffness is nonlinear in principle. The analytical method is applied to express the nonlinear Hertizian contact [2], therefore, the approximate formula is drawn as follows:

$$k_{hi} = \frac{E_e^{0.9} L^{0.8} (F_i)^{0.1}}{1.275},$$

$$F_i = F \cdot (Lsr_i),$$
(7)
(8)

where, *i* denotes the *i*th meshing tooth pair, *L* is the tooth face width, *F* is the total meshing force, which can be expressed as T/r_{b1} , where, *T* denotes the torque of the driving gear, F_i is the meshing force of the *i*th tooth pair.

2.4. Analytical method of improved gear foundation stiffness

When calculating the mesh stiffness, in addition to tooth deformation, the deflection caused by the fillet foundation should also be considered. The stiffness component caused by the deflection of the fillet foundation can be expressed as: An improved mesh stiffness calculation model of Spur gear pair under mixed EHL friction with spalling effect. Siyu Wang, Rupeng Zhu

$$\frac{1}{dk_f} = \frac{\cos^2 \alpha_1}{E \cdot dL} \left\{ L^* \left(\frac{u_f}{S_f} \right)^2 + M^* \left(\frac{u_f}{S_f} \right) + P^* (1 + Q^* \tan^2 \alpha_1) \right\},\tag{9}$$

where, *L* is the tooth width of spur gear, α_1 is the pressure angle acting on gear tooth, u_f and S_f are illustrated in schematic diagram, the coefficients L^* , M^* , P^* , Q^* can be obtained from the polynomial functions proposed in [1].

In the actual condition, one gear body support multiple gear tooth in meshing, therefore, higher value of mesh stiffness is obtained, that compared with the actual value in multiple-tooth meshing.

An improved analytical method based on FEM simulation is proposed [17] to eliminate the deviation, which can be expressed as:

$$dk_f = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_p dk_{fp}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_g dk_{fg}}\right)}, \qquad \lambda_p = \lambda_g = 1, \qquad (N = 1),$$
(10)

where, λ denotes the correction coefficient for fillet-foundation stiffness, p and g indicate the driving, driven gear, respectively, and N presents the number of gear pairs in meshing.

3. Results and discussion

The basic parameters of the spur gear pair that used for improved TVMS calculation model is shown in Table 1.

		5
Parameters	Value	Unit
Number of teeth on gear / N_g	43	_
Number of teeth on pinion / N_p	42	-
Teeth width / B	60	mm
Modulus / m	3.5	mm
Pressure angle / α	20	degree
Addendum coefficient / c_n	1	-
Tip clearance coefficient / ha_n	0.25	-
Young's modulus / E	2.068×10 ¹¹	Pa
Poisson's ratio / v	0.3	_

Table 1. Parameters of the GTF transmission system

The coefficient of friction μ that obtained from EHL lubrication is shown in Fig. 3, in which the coefficient is first drop and then increase to previous value with the rolling angle of gear pair, in addition, the curve of friction coefficient are plotted in three rotational speed, which are 1000 rpm, 2000 rpm, and 3000 rpm, respectively, the figure shows that the friction coefficient μ decreases as the rotational speed rises.



Fig. 3. The coefficient of friction for varying rotational speed

The mesh stiffness of spur gear pair is simulated with Matlab, and the mixed EHL friction coefficient is applied in the model, the results are shown in Fig. 4, it can be drawn from the Fig. 4(a) that the meshing process of spur gear pair experiences single teeth meshing and double teeth meshing, as the mesh stiffness also fluctuates with the number of teeth in meshing. Furthermore, the amplified figure of the mesh stiffness in single teeth meshing shows that the mesh stiffness experiences abrupt change caused by the change of direction of friction force.



Fig. 4. The mesh stiffness under EHL friction: a) original, b) amplified

The mesh stiffness with spalling effect are also studied as shown in Fig. 5, in the section, the effect of height of spalled area is studied, the mesh stiffness of spur gear pair with spalled area heighted 3 mm, 5 mm, 8 mm, 10 mm, while the length and width of spalled area are all kept as 5mm, in addition, the spalled area happed nearby the pitch line. As the figure illustrate, the extent of drop for mesh stiffness in single teeth meshing area deepened as the height of spalled area expanded.



Fig. 5. The mesh stiffness with spalling effect: a) $h_s = 3$ mm, b) $h_s = 5$ mm, c) $h_s = 8$ mm, d) $h_s = 10$ mm

4. Conclusions

In this paper, the improved mesh stiffness calculation model that considered friction force excitation that obtained from the mixed EHL model, in addition, the spalling effect of the spur gear pair are also studied.

Form the results of numerical simulation, the mesh stiffness, especially in single teeth meshing,

shows similar pattern as the evolution of friction coefficient. The sudden drop of mesh stiffness is illustrated as the spalling effect is considered, and the results show that the extent of dropping in mesh stiffness is amplified with the increase of height of the spalled area.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the financial support provided by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2018YFB2001300); the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51775265, Grant No. 51775277). In addition, the authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this article.

References

- [1] P Sainsot., P Velex., O Duverger. Contribution of gear body to tooth deflections a new bidimensional analytical formula. Journal of Mechanical Design, Vol. 126, 2004, p. 748-752.
- [2] Cornell R. W. Compliance and stress sensitivity of spur gear teeth. Journal of Mechanical Design, Vol. 103, Issue 2, 1981, p. 447-459.
- [3] Yang D. C. H., Lin J. Y. Hertzian damping, tooth friction and bending elasticity in gear impact dynamics. Journal of Mechanical Design, Vol. 109, Issue 2, 1987, p. 189-196.
- [4] Zhu D., Hu Y. Z. A Computer program package for the prediction of EHL and mixed lubrication characteristics, friction, subsurface stresses and flash temperatures based on measured 3D surface roughness. Tribology Transactions, Vol. 44, Issue 3, 2001, p. 383-390.
- [5] Castro J., Seabra J. Coefficient of friction in mixed film lubrication: gears versus twin-discs. Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part J: Journal of Engineering Tribology, Vol. 221, Issue 3, 2007, p. 399-411.
- [6] Chaari F., Baccar W., Abbes M. S. Effect of spalling or tooth breakage on gear mesh stiffness and dynamic response of a one-stage spur gear transmission. European Journal of Mechanics - A/Solids, Vol. 27, Issue 4, 2008, p. 691-705.
- [7] Chen Z., Shao Y. Dynamic simulation of spur gear with tooth root crack propagating along tooth width and crack depth. Engineering Failure Analysis, Vol. 18, Issue 8, 2011, p. 2149-2164.
- [8] Kiekbusch T., Sappok D., Sauer B. Calculation of the combined torsional mesh stiffness of spur gears with two- and three-dimensional parametrical FE models. Strojniški vestnik – Journal of Mechanical Engineering, Vol. 57, 2011, p. 810-818.
- [9] Chen Z. G., Shao Y. M. Dynamic simulation of spur gear with tooth root crack propagating along tooth width and crack depth. Engineering Failure Analysis, Vol. 18, 2011, p. 2149-2164.
- [10] Wan Z. G., Cao H. R., Zi Y. Y. An improved time-varying mesh stiffness algorithm and dynamic modeling of gear-rotor system with tooth root crack. Engineering Failure Analysis, Vol. 42, 2014, p. 157-177.
- [11] Ma H., Song R. Z., Pang X. Time-varying mesh stiffness calculation of cracked spur gears. Engineering Failure Analysis, Vol. 44, 2014, p. 179-194.
- [12] Wang Q., Hu P., Zhang Y. A model to determine mesh characteristics in a gear pair with tooth profile error. Advances in Mechanical Engineering, Vol. 6, 2014, p. 751476.
- [13] Liang Xihui, Ming Zuo J., Mayank Kumar Pandey Analytically evaluating the influence of crack on the mesh stiffness of a planetary gear set. Mechanism and Machine Theory, Vol. 76, 2014, p. 20-38.
- [14] Saxena A., Parey A., Chouksey M. Effect of shaft misalignment and friction force on time varying mesh stiffness of spur gear pair. Engineering Failure Analysis, Vol. 49, 2015, p. 79-91.
- [15] Saxena Ankur, Anand Parey, Manoj Chouksey Time varying mesh stiffness calculation of spur gear pair considering sliding friction and spalling defects. Engineering Failure Analysis, Vol. 70, 2016, p. 200-211.
- [16] Ma H., Pang X., Feng R. J. Improved time-varying mesh stiffness model of cracked spur gears. Engineering Failure Analysis, Vol. 55, 2015, p. 271-287.
- [17] Ma Hui An improved analytical method for mesh stiffness calculation of spur gears with tip relief. Mechanism and Machine Theory, Vol. 98, 2016, p. 64-80.
- [18] Xu H., Kahraman A., Anderson N. E., Maddock D. G. Prediction of mechanical efficiency of parallel-axis gear pairs. Journal of Mechanical Design, Vol. 129, Issue 1, 2007, p. 58-68.