Early physiotherapy and chest wall oscillation in patients with COVID-19 disease at the intensive care unit: a pilot clinical trial
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Abstract. Over the past few years, the early mobilization and rehabilitation of patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) has drawn a lot of interest from the clinical and scientific communities. An interdisciplinary team treating patients suffering from serious conditions should include physiotherapy. Additionally, physiotherapy is utilized to treat and prevent the side effects of extended immobility or sleep, as well as to improve respiratory function. The purpose is to improve residual functionality; to avoid new and repeated hospitalizations; to improve health and quality of life. Exercises for the entire body (including early in-bed cycling), specialized breathing exercises, chest mobilization, relief help, drainage postures, vibration, verticalization, and passive movements are all crucial components of COVID-19 physiotherapy. Our goal was to evaluate the changes of functional condition of patients with COVID-19 in the acute stage using different physiotherapy methods: complex measures against mono therapy. In the research, 28 subjects (15 men and 13 women) were involved. A total of 84 physiotherapy procedures were performed. In the first group, breathing exercises and chest wall oscillation were applied, in the second group – only chest wall oscillation. Respiratory physiotherapy (breathing exercises) plays an important role in reducing and preventing respiratory complications in COVID-19 patients treated at ICU. Early mobilization and chest wall oscillation are beneficial in COVID-19 patients. We note that we are the first to conduct an evidence-based study of physiotherapy in intensive care with COVID-19 patients. We hope that our pilot clinical trial gives opportunity to future researches.
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1. Introduction

On December 31, 2019, the infection caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) was originally reported as Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) [1]. The global impact of the Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has been unprecedented, with intensive care unit (ICU) care and ventilator availability at the center of health resource allocation and planning [2]. Fever (98 percent), cough (76 percent), and myalgia or weariness (44 percent) are the most common signs and symptoms of the disease; other symptoms include sputum, headache, hemoptysis, vomiting, diarrhea, and dyspnea. Furthermore, many patients developed pneumonia, with acute respiratory distress syndrome being one of the most common consequences [3]. During the decurarization phase, patients begin acute rehabilitation. Patients may require oxygen therapy, non-invasive ventilation, including continuous positive airway pressure, intubation and admission to the ICU depending on the severity of their disease. Body temperature, respiratory rate, and oxygen saturation appear to be the most important clinical indicators. The
length of stay in ICU has been reported to be greater than typical, with an average of three weeks [4].

Physical therapists are important in the fight against the COVID-19 pandemic because they help with the prevention and rehabilitation of COVID-19-related impairments, as well as assisting with functional independence and reintegration into society [5]. Dysphagia, muscle weakness, critical illness myopathy and neuropathy, reduced joint mobility, neck and shoulder pain (due to prolonged pronation), difficulty in verticalization, impaired balance and gait, limitations in activities of daily living, difficult awakening with long-term confusional state, and psychological problems have all been diagnosed so far. Some patients develop significant respiratory insufficiency due to lung fibrosis as a sequel of pneumonia, demanding respiratory rehabilitation or even non-invasive ventilation. Other patients create thick secretions that necessitate physiotherapy or technical clearance [4].

The mucociliary escalator and the cough reflex are critical mechanisms in maintaining a healthy and functional respiratory system: they avoid obstruction and provide enough airflow through the lungs by clearing secretions that could otherwise accumulate in the airways [6]. For acute pneumonic patients receiving endotracheal intubation, mechanical ventilation, and sedation, coughing is not an option. As a result, these patients may have a lot of pulmonary secretions, which can lead to bronchial hygiene problems, low oxyhemoglobin saturation, poor ventilation-perfusion match, and lung atelectasis or collapse. High-frequency chest wall oscillation can remove airway secretions by simulating a “mini-cough” by compressing and relaxing the chest wall to generate an oscillated volume from the lungs [7]. Various ventilatory methods, such as early chest physiotherapy (CPT) and rehabilitation, may be required for different patients. In general, CPT maneuvers are regarded critical in patient management during an ICU stay [5]. Cardiorespiratory physiotherapy focuses on the treatment of acute and chronic respiratory disorders, with the goal of improving physical rehabilitation after a hospital stay. Patients with COVID-19 may benefit from physiotherapy for respiratory treatment and physical rehabilitation [6]. Early exercise and mobilization, the use of prone positions in the ICU are all elements that physical therapists work with in the treatment of COVID-19 patients [8].

Our goal was to evaluate the changes of functional condition of patients with COVID-19 in the acute stage using different physiotherapy methods: complex measures against mono therapy. In the research, 28 subjects (15 men and 13 women) were involved. A total of 84 physiotherapy procedures were performed. Physiotherapy was performed once a day for every patient. In the first group, breathing exercises and chest wall oscillation were applied, in the second group – only chest wall oscillation. Breathing exercises that we chose were diaphragmatic, mixed breathing, inhalations and exhalations combined with active movements of the limbs and torso performed by the patient himself. Chest wall oscillation is a secretion-reducing technique performed with the help of a high-intensity (16 Hz) vest.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

The study included patients that were hospitalized with COVID-19 in the ICU. Twenty-eight COVID-19 patients (15 men and 13 women) were enrolled in the study. They were hospitalized in the ICU at Hospital of Lithuanian University of Health Sciences Kaunas Clinics. A total of 84 physiotherapy procedures were performed. There were no statistical differences between subjects of both groups. Demographic statistics are listed in Table 1.
Table 1. Demographic statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First group (chest wall oscillation and exercises)</th>
<th>Second group (chest wall oscillation)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>57.5 (44-81; 58.36) years old</td>
<td>51 (33-65; 51) years old</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>8 (53.4 %)</td>
<td>7 (46.6 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men 8 (53.4 %)</td>
<td>Men 7 (46.6 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women 6 (46.2 %)</td>
<td>Women 7 (53.8 %)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FiO2 baseline</td>
<td>85 (60-100; 84.29)</td>
<td>86 (60-80; 85.14)</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inclusion criteria: the diagnosis of COVID-19 was confirmed by PCR and bilateral pneumonia was confirmed by radiological examination.

Exclusion criteria referred to patients who had a recent episode of myocardial ischemia, had severe neurological diseases, HR < 40 or >130 BPM, mean arterial blood pressure < 60 mmHg and > 110 mmHg, body temperature ≥ 38.5 °C and ≤ 36 °C, The Richmond Agitation and Sedation Scale (RASS) –4, –5, 3, 4.

3. Outcome measures

Before and after each procedure, the following were recorded: 1) ventilation parameters: FiO₂ – fraction of Inspired Oxygen (%), flow of oxygen (l/min); 2) heart rate (BPM); 3) arterial blood pressure (mmHg); 4) saturation – a clinical measure of the amount of oxygen in a patient’s blood (%); 5) cough nature and productivity (dry cough or cough with secretion).

3.1. Ventilation parameters

Physicians and nurses in intensive hospital care units monitor breathing parameters to analyze patients’ physical status. The needed oxygen concentration varies depending on the patient. Ventilation parameters such as FiO₂ and oxygen flow were measured in this study. To maintain O₂ saturation, FiO₂ should be regulated. The high-flow nasal cannula is an oxygen therapy device that uses a specific nasal cannula to deliver properly heated and humidified oxygen at a rate of up to 60 L/min. The amount of oxygen in the gas mixture is measured by the percentage of inspired oxygen (FiO₂). Varied FiO₂ can be delivered via oxygen delivery tools like a nasal cannula, venturi mask, and high flow nasal cannula. A patient breathing room air is taking in air with a FiO₂ of 21 %. Oxygen delivery devices use anticipated equipment algorithms to calculate the flow rate and FiO₂ [10].

3.2. Heart rate and arterial blood pressure

The heart rate measures the number of times the heart beats per minute. Arterial blood pressure is defined as the force that is exerted by the blood on the arterial wall. The management of patients is heavily influenced by arterial blood pressure, a key cardiovascular variable that is regularly evaluated in perioperative and intensive care medicine [11].

3.3. Saturation (SpO₂)

Peripheral capillary oxygen saturation is an essential element of patient care. Oxygen is tightly regulated within the body because hypoxemia can lead to many acute adverse effects on individual organ systems. Oxygen saturation is a measure of how much hemoglobin is currently bound to oxygen compared to how much hemoglobin remains unbound [9].

3.4. Cough nature and productivity

Coughs are frequently described as “wet”, “moist”, or “dry”. A moist cough is most likely caused by suppurative lung illness, while a loose, rattling cough is thought to be caused by excess secretions or exudates in the wider airways [12]. In this study cough was identified as dry (not
productive) and cough with secretion (productive). The same specialist made a subjective assessment of cough.

4. Data analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the “IBM SPSS Statistics 21” software package. The nonparametric Man – Whitney Wilcoxon criteria (U) was applied to the two independent samples. The nonparametric Wilcoxon criteria (Z) was applied for the two dependent samples. Quantitative data of tests results are described by median (Xme), minimum value (Xmin), maximum value (Xmax) and arithmetic mean (m) – Xme (Xmin-Xmax; m). The difference was considered statistically significant when was $p < 0.05$. Qualitative data are described by percent (%).

5. Results

5.1. Fraction of inspired oxygen

In the chest wall oscillation + exercises group fraction of inspired oxygen before the intervention was 86 (60-80; 85.14) percent. After intervention it changed to 60 (0-100; 51.36) percent. This change was statistically significant ($Z = -2.413; p = 0.016$).

In the chest wall oscillation group fraction of inspired oxygen before the intervention was 85 (60-100; 84.29) percent. After intervention fraction of inspired oxygen was 87.5 (0-100; 80.36) percent. This change was not statistically significant ($Z = -0.239; p = 0.811$).

After comparing results of both groups before the intervention there was found no statistically significant difference ($U = 92.5; p = 0.81$), but after the intervention significant difference was found ($U = 56.5; p = 0.038$).

5.2. Flow of oxygen

In the first group, where chest wall oscillation and exercises were performed, flow of oxygen before the intervention was 50 (0-80; 48.93) l/mol. After the intervention in this group flow of oxygen changed to 32.5 (0-95; 30.86) l/mol. This change was statistically significant ($Z = -1.958; p = 0.048$).

In the second group, where only chest wall oscillation was performed, flow of oxygen before the intervention was 67.5 (0-80; 57.14) l/mol. After the intervention in this group flow of oxygen switched to 50 (0-80; 43.21) l/mol. this change was statistically significant ($Z = -2.615; p = 0.009$).

After comparing flow of oxygen results between groups there was no statistically significant changes found neither before ($U = 68.5; p = 0.178$), nor after the intervention ($U = 73.5; p = 0.261$).

5.3. Haemodynamic

In the first group (chest wall oscillation + exercises) hearth rate before the intervention was 79 (60-120; 82.29) bpm. After the intervention hearth rate was 83 (58-135; 86) bpm. There was found no significant difference between these data ($Z = -0.691; p = 0.49$).

In the second group (only chest wall oscillation) heart rate before the intervention was 80 (53-124; 80.79) bpm. After the intervention in the same group hearth rate was 83 (46-117; 85.57) bpm. There was found no significant difference between these data in this group before and after the intervention ($Z = -0.722; p = 0.47$).

After comparing hearth rate results between the groups neither before ($U = 93.5; p = 0.848$) nor after the intervention ($U = 93; p = 0.83$) there was found no significant difference.

After comparing systolic blood pressure results between groups there was no significant
difference neither before (U = 73; \( p = 0.26 \)), nor after the intervention (U = 69; \( p = 0.189 \)).

After measuring diastolic blood pressure results of both groups between each other there was no statistically significant difference found neither before the intervention (U = 74.5; \( p = 0.29 \)), nor after (U = 83.5; \( p = 0.517 \)).

### 5.4. Saturation

In the group, where chest wall oscillation and exercises were performed, saturation before the intervention was 92.5 (88-98; 92.64) percent. After the intervention result was 94.5 (92-100; 95.07) percent. There was found significant difference between these results (\( Z = –1.966; p = 0.049 \)).
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In the group, where only chest wall oscillation was performed, saturation before the
intervention was 91 (83-97; 91.29) percent. After the intervention result was 95 (90-98; 94.36). There was found significant difference between these results ($Z = -2.85; p = 0.004$).

After comparing saturation results before the intervention there was found no significant difference between the groups ($U = 79; p = 0.391$). After the intervention there was also no significant difference found between the groups ($U = 91; p = 0.755$).

The results are presented in Table 2.

### 5.5. Coughing

In the first group, where chest wall oscillation and exercises were performed, before the intervention 21.43 percent of subjects had no coughing, 35.71 percent of subjects had coughing with secretions and 42.86 percent of subjects had dry coughing. After the intervention 57.14 percent of subjects had no coughing, 21.43 percent of subjects had dry coughing and 21.43 percent of subjects had coughing with secretions. See at Fig. 1.

In the second group, where only chest wall oscillation were performed, before the intervention 50 percent of subjects had no coughing, 35.71 percent of subjects had coughing with secretions and 14.29 percent of subjects had dry coughing. After the intervention 57.14 percent of subjects had no coughing, 7.14 percent of subjects had coughing with secretions and 35.71 percent of subjects had dry coughing. See at Fig. 2.

### 5.6. Correlations

Correlation when $|r| \leq 0.3$ was accepted as very weak, $0.3 < |r| \leq 0.7$ was accepted as
There was moderate strong correlation found between flow of oxygen and beats per minute. See at Fig. 3.

![Graph](image)

Fig. 3. Statistically significant moderate strong correlation between results of flow of oxygen and beats per minute ($r = 0.484; p = 0.009$)

6. Discussions

Based on the studies by L. M. S. Dias et. al. (2022) and Aslhan Çakmak et. al. (2019) the most frequently used respiratory techniques in the ICU were positioning (86 %), alveolar recruitment (73 %), and hard/brief expiratory rib cage compression (46 %), whereas those in the ward were active prone positioning (90 %), breathing exercises (88 %), and directed/assisted cough (75 %) [13], [14]. In our pilot clinical trial, mostly used physiotherapy methods were breathing exercises and chest wall oscillation.

N. Ambrosino et. al. (2011) claims that recovery of physical and respiratory functions, coming off mechanical ventilation, prevention of the effects of bed-rest and improvement in the health status are the clinical objectives of a physiotherapy program in medical and surgical areas. To manage these patients, integrated programs dealing with both whole-body physical therapy and pulmonary care are needed [15]. We observed that the amount of oxygen in the gas mixture of inspired oxygen, flow of oxygen and oxygenation decrease suggests that the patient is recovering. This indicates that he needs less additional help with breathing.

Based on the results of the study by S. A. R. Younes et. al. (2022), it can be said that twice-daily multimodality chest physiotherapy interventions, such as manual hyperinflation, endotracheal suctioning, patient positioning, chest percussion, and mechanical chest vibration device, had a better impact on reducing the incidence of ventilator associated pneumonia and improving patient's clinical outcome [16]. Results of our study showed: in the group that applied breathing exercises and chest wall oscillation, the amount of oxygen in the gas mixture of inspired oxygen and flow of oxygen decreased but saturation increased. In the group, where chest wall oscillation was applied, flow of oxygen decreased and saturation increased. The numbers in those who coughed with secretions decreased, dry cough increased, and the number of those who did not cough increased.

The World Association of Vibration Exercise Experts reviewed that whole body vibration exercise is a non-invasive physical therapy, which has been suggested as part of the procedures involved with pulmonary rehabilitation, even in ICU settings [17]. In our study, changes are observed in both groups, greater in the group in which chest wall oscillation was combined with breathing exercises. In this study cough was identified as dry (not productive) and cough with secretion (productive). At the beginning of treatment, when patients do not cough at all, the result is bad, because proper ventilation does not take place. It is very good when the cough becomes wet during the treatment. After treatment, the cough may disappear completely. Changes are
observed in both groups.

It is evident that hospitalized COVID-19 patients require standardized respiratory physiotherapy care. Our study was limited by the absence of a third group with breathing exercises. Although methodologies are similar across countries and hospitals, there is a lack of generally accepted physiotherapy protocols for ICU patients in critical conditions. We note that we are the first to conduct an evidence-based study of physiotherapy in intensive care with COVID-19 patients. In our research, we proved that complex measures are better than mono therapy, which is the strength of this study. We hope that our pilot clinical trial gives opportunity to future researches. It is expected that the results and prepared clinical conclusions of this study will be useful for all specialists in the field of rehabilitation and reanimatologists working with respiratory diseases, as well as people with COVID-19 at various stages of their counseling or treatment.

7. Conclusions

Respiratory physiotherapy (breathing exercises) plays an important role in reducing and preventing respiratory complications in COVID-19 patients treated at ICU. Outcome measures changes were observed in both groups, greater in the group in which chest wall oscillation was combined with breathing exercises. Early mobilization and chest wall oscillation are beneficial in COVID-19 patients. There was moderate strong correlation found between flow of oxygen and beats per minute.
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