# An analytical model for the analysis of vibration and energy flow in a clamped stiffened plate using integral transform technique

# Hui Guo<sup>1</sup>, Kai Zhang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Qingdao Huanghai University, Qingdao, 266427, P. R. China <sup>2</sup>School of Mechanical and Automotive Engineering, Qingdao University of Technology, Qingdao, 266520, P. R. China

<sup>2</sup>Corresponding author

**E-mail:** 1hguo9262@163.com, 2kaizhang882@163.com

Received 3 September 2023; accepted 18 February 2024; published online 2 March 2024 DOI https://doi.org/10.21595/jve.2024.23604



Copyright © 2024 Hui Guo, et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Abstract.** Based on Kirchhoff thin plate and Mindlin thick plate theories, the vibration and energy flow characteristics of clamped stiffened plate are studied by using the analytical model constructed by finite integral transform method. The results show that the energy flow characteristics of the stiffened plate at the beam/plate coupling interface depend on the position of the rib in the vibration modes of the plate. The effects of shear deformation and rotatory inertia on the energy flow across the beam/plate coupling interface of the stiffened plate are further investigated. It is found that the inclusion of rotatory inertia of the beam and plate in the model only affects the energy flow component controlled by the moment coupling but not that controlled by the shear force coupling. Whilst the inclusion of the shear deformation of the beam and plate mainly causes a decreased amplitude of the energy flow for the mode group where the beam is located away from both the nodal and antinodal lines of modes, in addition to the shear deformation of the plate which also leads to an increased amplitude of the energy flow component controlled by the shear force coupling for the mode group where the beam locates at the antinodal line of modes. The understanding of energy flow characteristics of the stiffened plate at the beam/plate interface is essential to effectively control the noise and vibration problems of structures such as transformer tanks and machine covers.

**Keywords:** vibration, energy flow, clamped stiffened plates, finite integral transform.

### Nomenclature

ah

$u, \nu$	Length and width of plate, in
C, D	Bending and shear stiffness of plate
$ ho_p, ho_b$	Mass density of plate and beams, kg/m <sup>3</sup>
$E_p, E_b$	Young's modulus of plate and beam, N/M <sup>2</sup>
η	Structural damping coefficient of plate and beams
ν	Poisson's ratio
h	Plate thickness, m
κ	Shear coefficient
$I_p \ \delta$	Area moment of inertia per unit length of plate, m <sup>3</sup>
δ	Dirac delta function
$x_a$	Positions of the ribs on the plate in the y direction, m
$G_b$	Shear modulus of beams, N/M <sup>2</sup>
$A_b$	Cross-sectional area of beams, m <sup>2</sup>
$I_b$	Area moment of inertia of beams, m <sup>4</sup>
$k_t$	Torsion wavenumber of beams
$k_b$	Flexural wavenumber of beams
T	Torsional stiffness of beams
В	Flexural stiffness of beams

Length and width of plate, m

 $\omega$  Circular frequency, rad/s

External excitation force amplitude acting on plate, N

W Transverse displacement of plate

 $\varphi_x$ ,  $\varphi_y$  Rotation angles of the normal line of plate

U Transverse displacements of beams

 $\psi$  Rotation angles of beams

 $\theta$  Torsional displacements of beams

Q Shear forces per unit length at the rib/plate interfaces
 M Bending moments per unit length at the rib/plate interfaces

 $\langle P_O \rangle$  Energy flow governed by the shear force component

 $\langle P_M \rangle$  Energy flow governed by the moment component

#### 1. Introduction

 $F_0$ 

Beams, plates and their coupled forms are the fundamental structural components in marine, aerospace, vehicle transportation engineering and other fields. The average vibration energy of these structures is often used to describe their vibration and acoustic radiation characteristics at middle and high frequencies. Therefore, energy-based analysis methods are generally used for the study of the mid- to high-frequency vibroacoustic response of stiffened plate structures currently, which mainly include statistical energy analysis (SEA) and energy flow analysis (EFA). EFA is a propagation wave method based on an energy equation, while SEA is a modal method. For example, Langley et al. [1] investigated the vibration energy transmission characteristics of periodically stiffened plates using SEA and analyzed the effect of periodic spacing of stiffeners on energy transmission. Bercin [2] investigated the effects of shear distortion and rotary inertia on the flexural energy transmission of a stiffened plate structure using the direct-dynamic stiffness method and SEA, and showed that energy flow decreases significantly compared with the transmitted energy calculated using the classical thin plate theory. Lin et al. [3] applied SEA method to study vibration energy transmission in L-shaped plates with fully simply supported boundary and calculated the energy flow between plates under definite force and moment excitation conditions.

Wester and Mace [4-6] developed a wave-based method for the analysis of energy flow in deterministic models involving two- and three-dimensional subsystems as well as complex uncertain structures, and then analyzed the energy flow in coupled structures comprising two regularly or irregularly rectangular plates. Li et al. [7] investigated vibrational wave and energy flow characteristics of infinite thin plate of finite width with a part-through surface crack base on wave method, and considered modeling the crack as a linear spring whose elasticity was derived from the relationship between strain energy and stress intensity factor in fracture mechanics. They showed that the vibrational energy flow of cracked plate is highly related to the depth and location of the part-through crack. In addition, Pany et al. [8-11] investigated wave propagation and free vibration of plate and shell structures based on the wave method and finite element method. For example, Pany et al. [8] solved the natural frequencies for a circular cylindrical shell in radial vibration using a wave propagation method. They found that the bounding frequencies and the corresponding modes in all the propagation bands can be determined by choosing a proper periodic element, and all the natural frequencies of a row of curved panels with simply supported extreme edges can be determined from the phase frequency curves. Pany and Parthan [9] investigated wave propagation along the axis of an infinite cylindrical curved plate supported at a regular interval to determine its natural frequency in bending vibration using two approximate methods, one of which uses beam functions and sinusoidal modes form of bending deflection to obtain propagation constant curves, and the other uses a high-precision trigonometric finite element in conjunction with the wave method to determine natural frequencies. Pany [10] investigated the propagation of free waves in a two-dimensional periodic plate using the finite element method combined with Floquet's theory (periodic structure concept), which can be used to solve for the natural frequency of a finite multi-supported flat plate with internal line supports by discretizing the propagation band. Pany and Parthan [11] studied the free vibration of a multi-supported finite curved panel continuous in circumferential and axial directions using high-precision triangular finite element. Zhang et al. [12] formulated the dynamic model of periodically coupled plate structure using the dynamic stiffness method (DSM), which is a powerful tool for vibration analysis of periodic plate structure due to its efficient substructure coupling technique. It is found that the DSM can obtain accurate results with much less computational time than the finite element method.

Cotoni et al. [13] extended the energy flow approach to sound transmission problems through a finite plate. Seo et al. [14] developed a power flow analysis method to predict the vibration response of reinforced plate structures with simply supported boundaries from moderate to high frequencies and analyzed the power transmission and reflection coefficients at the coupled plate/beam interface. Song et al. [15] analyzed vibrational energy and intensity distribution of the coupled beam-plate structures by EFA in medium-to-high frequencies ranges. Han et al. [16] developed EFA method to predict the vibration energy density of the thin plate with mean flow in the high frequency range. Ma et al. [17] studied the medium frequency vibration of a built-up plate structure by EFA and calculated mode count, modal density, damping and coupling loss factors and input mobility of plate structures. Zhu and Yang [18] investigated the power flow characteristics and energy transmission of variable stiffness laminated composite plates with curvilinear fibres subjected to harmonic excitation using the finite element method based on the vibration power flow analysis. They indicated that the fibre angles can be tailored to change the vibration transmission paths according to the specific excitation. Zhou et al. [19] investigated the vibration energy flow transmission behavior of laminated composite plate structures coupled with a line hinge using the substructure-based power flow analysis method and analyzed the effects of the fiber orientation, boundary conditions and the position of coupling hinge on the vibration transmission path. Teng et al. [20] calculated the energy density of the laminated plate with free damping layers under high-frequency excitation based on EFA and analyzed the effects of the loss factor and the thickness of the damping layer on the energy density.

In addition, there are several other methods used to analyze the vibration energy transmission and sound energy transmission of plates and beams. Krishnappa and McDougall [21] investigated sound intensity distribution and energy flow in the nearfield of a clamped circular plate vibrating at its resonant frequencies using Rayleigh's integral formula and finite difference method, and analyzed sound energy flow of the axisymmetric modes and sound intensity distributions of nonaxisymmetric modes. Cieślik and Bochniak [22] presented a numerical analysis method of structural intensity distribution to analyze the vibration energy flow across a stiffened rectangular plate with simply supported boundary conditions. Pavić [23] presented a model of a beam-plate system for studying the distribution of vibration energy and energy flow in beams and rods under excitation sources using numerical method. They showed that axial vibration is of equal importance to transverse vibration in terms of energy flow of beams. Bercin [24] analyzed the effects of in-plane vibrations on the energy transmission in plate-type structures using the dynamic stiffness technique and showed that excluding in-plane modes may lead to large errors in energy prediction unless the structure is very simple. Han et al. [25] developed a transfer function method and an impedance method for calculating the energy response of simply supported beams and plates excited by discrete random forces. Weaver [26] calculated the average square response of an infinite homogeneous plate with undamped sprung massed distributed randomly based on diagrammatic multiple-scattering theory. They found that the radiative transfer equation governs the energy flow on time scales larger than the frequency inverse, while the diffusion equation governs the energy flow at times larger than the residence time of the energy in the substructure. Kessissoglou [27] investigated active attenuation of the plate flexural wave transmission through a reinforcing beam on a semi-infinite simply supported stiffened plate. They showed that significant attenuation of all the resonance peaks in the flexural wave transmission can be achieved by using a single force and a single moment collocated on the beam. Sorokin [28] studied the vibration and energy propagation in an infinitely long fluid-loaded sandwich plates bearing concentrated masses and supported by springs based on the sixth order theory of multilayered

plates coupled with the standard theory of linear acoustics. It is found that inclusions responding to transverse motions do not affect the energy propagation in sandwich plates with a soft core. Xu et al. [29] analyzed the transmission of vibration energy flow in simply supported stiffened plates using the structural intensity method and showed that the existence of stiffeners can change the energy flow in plate. Wang et al. [30] investigated the power flow characteristics of a complex plate-cylindrical shell system using the substructure method. Zhu et al. [31] investigated the vibration transmission and power flow behaviour of harmonically excited laminated composite plates attached with an inerter-based suppression device based on the substructure method and analyzed the effects of fibre orientations and different lamination schemes on vibration power flow input and transmission as well as the kinetic energy. Tang et al. [32] presented the method of reverberation-ray matrix for the free vibration analysis of plate/shell coupled structures based on the classical thin plate theory and the Flügge thin shell theory, but the method is only applicable to the plate/shell coupled structures where the plate is simply supported boundary conditions on both opposite sides. Wang et al. [33] calculated the bending coupling loss factor of L-shaped plate using finite element method (FEM), and analyzed the effect of the plate length, internal loss factor, concentrated mass and boundary condition on coupling loss factor. It is found that the energy of longitudinal and transverse waves increases sharply with the decrease of plate length, and then the accuracy of the coupling loss factor calculated using FEM decreases.

The above analysis of energy flow of stiffened plate structures is basically focused on the simply supported boundary. Moreover, the analytical solution for stiffened thin plates with fully clamped boundary based on the wave method is currently available [34], but the vibration energy flow of stiffened thick plates has not been analyzed and investigated. Therefore, based on the finite integral transform technique [35], an analytical model is established for the analysis of the vibration energy flow of stiffened thin and thick rectangular plates with fully clamped boundary at medium and high frequencies. The natural frequencies and input mobilities of a stiffened rectangular plate are calculated using the developed analytical model, and the accuracy of the model is validated by the finite element and propagation wave method [34]. The energy flow characteristics across the beam of the stiffened plate are classified into three categories according to the vibration modes, and the effects of transverse shear deformation and rotatory inertia on the energy flow at the beam/plate coupling interface are investigated.

## 2. Formulations of the stiffened plates

A structural model of a fully clamped stiffened rectangular plate is shown in Fig. 1. The stiffener is a beam structure of rectangular cross-section inserted symmetrically into the plate structure. It is assumed that the insertion position of the beam is parallel to the direction of the y-coordinate of the plate  $(x = x_a)$ . The internal force components at the coupled boundary of the plate and beam are also shown in Fig. 1, where Q is the shear force per unit length and M is the moment per unit length.  $F_0$  is the amplitude of a point excitation source applied at position  $(x, y) = (x_0, y_0)$ .




Fig. 1. a) A graphic illustration of a fully clamped stiffened rectangular plate, b) the base plate and the coupling force and moments at the interface, c) the stiffening beam

## 2.1. Analytical solution of clamped stiffened Kirchhoff plate

Based on the finite integral transform method [35], the analytical solution of vibration response of a stiffened rectangular thin plate with fully clamped boundary is given in this subsection. In addition, Kirchhoff thin plate theory is employed for the plate structure, and Euler-Bernoulli thin beam theory is in the beam structure. For a steady state vibration, the governing equation of the bending displacement (W) of stiffened plate under the point force excitation can be reduced to

$$D\left(\frac{\partial^{4}W}{\partial x^{4}} + 2\frac{\partial^{4}W}{\partial x^{2}\partial y^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{4}W}{\partial y^{4}}\right) - \rho_{p}h\omega^{2}W$$

$$= F_{0}\delta(x - x_{0})\delta(y - y_{0}) - Q\delta(x - x_{a}) - M\delta'(x - x_{a}),$$
(1)

where D are the bending stiffness of the base plate, in which h is the thickness,  $\rho_p$  is the surface mass, and  $\omega$  is the angular frequency.

For a stiffened thin plate with fully clamped edges, the analytical solution of the vibration response is obtained using a finite sine integral transform, whose Fourier transform integral pair is [35]:

$$\overline{W}(m,n) = \int_0^a \int_0^b W(x,y) \sin(k_m x) \sin(k_n y) \, dx \, dy, \tag{2}$$

$$W(x,y) = \frac{4}{ab} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{W}(m,n) \sin(k_m x) \sin(k_n y). \tag{3}$$

The governing equations for the flexural (U) and torsional  $(\theta)$  displacements of the thin beam can be written as [36]:

$$\frac{\partial^4 U}{\partial y^4} - k_b^4 U = \frac{Q}{B},\tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial y^2} + k_t^2 \theta = \frac{M}{T},\tag{5}$$

where  $k_b$  and  $k_t$  are the flexural and torsional wavenumbers, B and T are the flexural and torsional stiffness.

Similarly, the Fourier transform pairs of bending and torsional displacements of a clamped thin beam write [35]:

$$\overline{U}(n) = \int_0^b U(y) \sin(k_n y) \, dy,\tag{6}$$

$$U(y) = \frac{2}{b} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{U}(n) \sin(k_n y),$$

$$\bar{\theta}(n) = \int_0^b \theta(y) \sin(k_n y) \, dy,$$
(8)

$$\bar{\theta}(n) = \int_0^b \theta(y) \sin(k_n y) \, dy,\tag{8}$$

$$\theta(y) = \frac{2}{b} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\theta}(n) \sin(k_n y). \tag{9}$$

The two-dimensional finite sine integral transform as shown in Eq. (2) is applied to each term of the governing equation shown in Eq. (1), and then substituting its boundary conditions [36], the vibration response solution of the stiffened rectangular thin plate with fully clamped boundary under the excitation force can be obtained as:

$$W(x,y) = \frac{4}{ab} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{mn}^{(p)} (F_0 \sin(k_m x_0) \sin(k_n y_0) - \sin(k_m x_a) Q_n - k_m \cos(k_m x_a) M_n$$

$$+ Dk_n ((-1)^n I_m - J_m) + Dk_m ((-1)^m K_n - L_n)) \sin(k_m x) \sin(k_n y),$$
(10)

where:

$$\begin{split} H_{mn}^{(p)} &= 1/\big(Dk_m^4 + 2Dk_m^2k_n^2 + Dk_n^4 - \rho_p h\omega^2\big), \\ Q_n &= \int_0^b Q \sin(k_n y) \, dy, \quad M_n = \int_0^b M \sin(k_n y) \, dy, \\ I_m &= \int_0^a \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial y^2}\bigg|_{y=b} \sin(k_m x) dx, \quad J_m = \int_0^a \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial y^2}\bigg|_{y=0} \sin(k_m x) dx, \\ K_n &= \int_0^b \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2}\bigg|_{x=a} \sin(k_n y) dy, \quad L_n &= \int_0^b \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2}\bigg|_{x=0} \sin(k_n y) dy. \end{split}$$

Accordingly, a single finite sine integral transform as shown in Eqs. (6) and (8) is performed for each term of the governing equations shown in Eqs. (4) and (5), respectively, and then substituting its boundary conditions [36], the vibration response of the thin beam on the plate is solved as:

$$U(y) = \frac{2}{b} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n^{(b)} \left( \frac{Q_n}{B} + k_n ((-1)^n L_b - L_0) \right) \sin(k_n y), \tag{11}$$

$$\theta(y) = \frac{2}{b} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n \frac{M_n}{T} \sin(k_n y), \tag{12}$$

where  $H_n^{(b)} = 1/(k_n^4 - k_b^4)$  and  $J_n = 1/(k_t^2 - k_n^2)$ .  $L_b = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2}\Big|_{y=b}$  and  $L_0 = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2}\Big|_{y=0}$  can be determined by the boundary conditions of the clamped thin beam [36].

## 2.2. Analytical solution of clamped stiffened Mindlin plate

Cremer et al. [37] suggested that the thin plates model is applicable to the vibroacoustic predictions of plates where the ratio of the bending wavelength of the plate to its thickness is greater than a factor of six. However, even if the plate is very thin, vibroacoustic predictions can be subject to large errors near the applied position of concentrated force or at the medium to high frequencies. Therefore, Zhang and Lin [38] revised the criteria for the thin plates model by Cremer [37], pointing out that the standard for using the thin plate model should be doubled, that is, the bending wavelength to thickness ratio of the plate is greater than 12, in order to achieve a prediction error of less than 5 %. Based on the finite integral transform method, the analytical solution of vibration response of a stiffened rectangular thick plate with fully clamped boundary is given in this subsection. In addition, Mindlin thick plate theory is employed for the plate structure, and Timoshenko thick beam theory is in the beam structure. The thick plate theory is a first-order shear deformation theory, which is applicable to investigate the vibration of moderately thick plates with thickness not exceeding twenty percent of the shortest planform dimension [39]. For a steady state vibration, the governing equations of the bending displacement (W) and rotation

angles  $\varphi_x$  and  $\varphi_y$  of the normal with regard to the x and y coordinates for stiffened plate under point force excitation can be described as [40]:

$$\frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 W}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial \varphi_x}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \varphi_y}{\partial y} + \frac{\rho_p h \omega^2 W}{C} 
= \frac{F_0}{C} (x - x_0) \delta(y - y_0) - \frac{Q}{C} \delta(x - x_a) - \frac{M}{C} \delta'(x - x_a), \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi_x}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1 - \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_x}{\partial y^2} + \frac{1 + \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_y}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{C}{D} \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial x} - \varphi_x \right) + \frac{\rho_p I_p \omega^2 \varphi_x}{D} = 0, \tag{14}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{y}}{\partial y^{2}} + \frac{1 - \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{y}}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{1 + \nu}{2} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{x}}{\partial x \partial y} + \frac{C}{D} \left( \frac{\partial W}{\partial y} - \varphi_{y} \right) + \frac{\rho_{p} I_{p} \omega^{2} \varphi_{y}}{D} = 0, \tag{15}$$

where C is the shear stiffness of plate, and  $I_p$  is the area moment of inertia per unit length of plate. The normal transform for the bending displacement W(x,y) and rotational displacements  $\varphi_x(x,y)$ ,  $\varphi_y(x,y)$  of the Mindlin thick plate using the two-dimensional finite sine integral transform can be represented as [35]:

$$\overline{W}(m,n) = \int_0^a \int_0^b W(x,y) \sin(k_m x) \sin(k_n y) \, dx dy, \tag{16}$$

$$\overline{\varphi_x}(m,n) = \int_0^a \int_0^b \varphi_x(x,y) \cos(k_m x) \sin(k_n y) dx dy, \tag{17}$$

$$\overline{\varphi_y}(m,n) = \int_0^a \int_0^b \varphi_y(x,y) \sin(k_m x) \cos(k_n y) dx dy.$$
 (18)

Their inverse transforms are:

$$W(x,y) = \frac{1}{ab} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_m \varepsilon_n \overline{W}(m,n) \sin(k_m x) \sin(k_n y), \tag{19}$$

$$\varphi_{x}(x,y) = \frac{1}{ab} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{m} \varepsilon_{n} \overline{\varphi_{x}}(m,n) \cos(k_{m}x) \sin(k_{n}y), \tag{20}$$

$$\varphi_{y}(x,y) = \frac{1}{ab} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{m} \varepsilon_{n} \overline{\varphi_{y}}(m,n) \sin(k_{m}x) \cos(k_{n}y). \tag{21}$$

The governing equations for the flexural displacement U, rotation angle  $\psi$  and torsional displacement  $\theta$  of the thick beam write [40]:

$$\left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2}\right) - \frac{\rho_b \omega^2}{\kappa G_h} U = \frac{Q}{\kappa G_h A_h},\tag{22}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\kappa G_b A_b}{E_b I_b} \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - \psi \right) + \frac{\rho_b \omega^2}{E_b} \psi = 0, \tag{23}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \theta}{\partial v^2} + k_t^2 \theta = \frac{M}{T},\tag{24}$$

where  $\rho_b$  is the mass density of beam,  $A_b$  is the cross-sectional area of beam, and  $I_b$  is the area moment of inertia of beam.  $E_b$  and  $G_b$  are Young's modulus and shear modulus, and  $\kappa$  is the shear coefficient.

The normal transform for the transverse displacement U(y), the rotation angle  $\varphi(y)$  and the

torsional displacement  $\theta(y)$  of the Timoshenko thick beam using the one-dimensional finite sine integral transform can be written as [35]:

$$\overline{U}(n) = \int_{0}^{b} U(y) \sin(k_n y) \, dy, \tag{25}$$

$$\bar{\varphi}(n) = \int_0^b \varphi(y) \cos(k_n y) \, dy,\tag{26}$$

$$\bar{\theta}(n) = \int_0^b \theta(y) \sin(k_n y) \, dy. \tag{27}$$

Their inverse transforms are:

$$U(y) = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n \overline{U}(n) \sin(k_n y), \tag{28}$$

$$\varphi(y) = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n \bar{\varphi}(n) \cos(k_n y), \tag{29}$$

$$\theta(y) = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n \bar{\theta}(n) \sin(k_n y). \tag{30}$$

Each term of the governing equations (Eqs. (13-15)) of the Mindlin plate is transformed by the corresponding two-dimensional finite integral transform shown in Eqs. (16-18), and then substituting their boundary conditions [40], the vibration response solution of the stiffened rectangular thick plate with fully clamped boundary under the excitation force is derived as:

$$W(x,y) = \frac{4}{ab} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( P_{11} \frac{F_0}{C} \sin(k_m x_0) \sin(k_n y_0) - P_{11} \frac{\sin(k_m x_a)}{C} Q_n - P_{11} \frac{k_m \cos(k_m x_a)}{C} M_n - P_{12} (-1)^m C_n + P_{12} D_n - P_{13} (-1)^n A_m + P_{13} B_m \right) \sin(k_m x) \sin(k_n y),$$
(31)

$$\varphi_{X}(x,y) = \frac{4}{ab} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( P_{121} \frac{F_{0}}{C} \sin(k_{m}x_{0}) \sin(k_{n}y_{0}) - P_{21} \frac{\sin(k_{m}x_{a})}{C} Q_{n} - P_{21} \frac{k_{m}\cos(k_{m}x_{a})}{C} M_{n} - P_{22}(-1)^{m} C_{n} + P_{22} D_{n} - P_{23}(-1)^{n} A_{m} + P_{23} B_{m} \cos(k_{m}x) \sin(k_{n}y),$$
(32)

$$\varphi_{y}(x,y) = \frac{4}{ab} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( P_{31} \frac{F_{0}}{C} \sin(k_{m}x_{0}) \sin(k_{n}y_{0}) - P_{31} \frac{\sin(k_{m}x_{a})}{C} Q_{n} - P_{31} \frac{k_{m}\cos(k_{m}x_{a})}{C} M_{n} - P_{32}(-1)^{m} C_{n} + P_{32} D_{n} - P_{33}(-1)^{n} A_{m} + P_{33} B_{m} \sin(k_{m}x) \cos(k_{n}y),$$
(33)

where:

$$\begin{split} A_{m} &= \int_{0}^{a} \frac{\partial \varphi_{y}}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=b} \sin(k_{m}x) dx, \quad B_{m} &= \int_{0}^{a} \frac{\partial \varphi_{y}}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=0} \sin(k_{m}x) dx, \\ C_{n} &= \int_{0}^{b} \frac{\partial \varphi_{x}}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=a} \sin(k_{n}y) dy, \quad D_{n} &= \int_{0}^{b} \frac{\partial \varphi_{x}}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=0} \sin(k_{n}y) dy, \\ \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} \\ P_{21} & P_{22} & P_{23} \\ P_{31} & P_{32} & P_{33} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \left(k_{m}^{2} + k_{n}^{2} - \frac{\rho_{p}h\omega^{2}}{C}\right) & -k_{m} & -k_{n} \\ & \frac{C}{D}k_{m} & \frac{\rho_{p}I_{p}\omega^{2}}{D} - k_{m}^{2} - \frac{1-\nu}{2}k_{n}^{2} - \frac{C}{D} & -\frac{1+\nu}{2}k_{m}k_{n} \\ & \frac{C}{D}k_{n} & -\frac{1+\nu}{2}k_{m}k_{n} & \frac{\rho_{p}I_{p}\omega^{2}}{D} - k_{n}^{2} - \frac{1-\nu}{2}k_{m}^{2} - \frac{C}{D} \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Meanwhile, applying the corresponding one-dimensional finite integral transform as shown in Eqs. (25)-(27) to each term of Eqs. (22)-(24), and substituting their boundary conditions [40], the vibration response solution of the thick beam on the plate is:

$$U(y) = \frac{2}{b} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( H_{11} \frac{Q_n}{\kappa G_b A_b} - H_{12} ((-1)^n L_{0b} - L_{00}) \right) \sin(k_n y), \tag{34}$$

$$\psi(y) = \frac{2}{b} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( H_{21} \frac{Q_n}{\kappa G_b A_b} - H_{22} ((-1)^n L_{0b} - L_{00}) \right) \cos(k_n y), \tag{35}$$

$$\theta(y) = \frac{2}{b} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_n \frac{M_n}{T} \sin(k_n y),\tag{36}$$

where 
$$\begin{bmatrix} H_{11} & H_{12} \\ H_{21} & H_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} k_n^2 - \frac{\rho_b \omega^2}{\kappa G_b} & -k_n \\ \frac{\kappa G_b A_b k_n}{E_b I_b} & \frac{\rho_b \omega^2}{E_b} - k_n^2 - \frac{\kappa G_b A_b}{E_b I_b} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$
.  $L_{0b} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=b}$  and  $L_{00} = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} \Big|_{y=0}$  can

be solved by the boundary conditions of the clamped thick beam [40].

#### 3. Numerical evaluation

This section is to verify the accuracy of the analytical models presented in the previous section for stiffened thin and thick plate with fully clamped boundary. The material properties and structural parameters of the plate and beam structure of a stiffened rectangular plate in the numerical study are shown in Table 1. It is assumed that the beam is inserted into the rectangular plate at position  $x_a = 1$  m, and the unit normal point excitation force is applied at position  $(x_0, y_0) = (0.6 \text{ m}, 0.3 \text{ m})$ . In the simulation calculation of frequency response, the frequency resolution is 0.1 Hz.

Table 1. Structural parameters and material properties of the plate and beam

Length and width of plate	Thickness of plate	Cross-sectional area of beam	Density	Young's modulus	Poisson's ratio	Loss factor $\eta$
a = 3.6  m b = 1  m	h = 0.02  m	$A_b = 0.08 \times 0.02$ m <sup>2</sup>	$\rho_p = \rho_b = 2660 \text{ kg/m}^3$	$E_p = E_b = 7.1e^{10} \text{ Pa}$	v = 0.3	$\eta = 0.001$

## 3.1. Natural frequencies

First of all, the first 20 natural frequencies of the stiffened thin plate with the fully clamped edges calculated using integral transform technique are compared to those calculated using finite element analysis (FEA) and propagation wave approach (PWA) based on Kirchhoff thin plate theory, which are listed in Table 2. In the finite element simulation, the base plate is meshed by  $180 \times 50$  shell elements so that there are more than eight elements per bending wavelength at the highest frequency of concern. The ribs are meshed accordingly to match up with the plate mesh. Each node of the plate and beam elements has 6 degrees of freedom, including 3 degrees of freedom for displacements and 3 degrees of freedom for angles in x, y, and z respectively. The plate and beam are connected by sharing nodes in FEA. It is shown that the relative difference of the modal frequencies calculated using the different approaches is within 2 % for all 20 modes under investigation, where the error is calculated using the results of the current method for the stiffened thin plate as a benchmark. The modal frequencies calculated using the current method are slightly higher than those of the corresponding modes using the propagation wave approach, indicating that the analytical model developed in this study is slightly stiffer in general than that using the propagation wave approach.

**Table 2.** Natural frequencies of the first 20 modes of the stiffened plate structures

Mode No		I abic 2	1 tutulul 1	requentere	5 OI the II	150 20 1110	des of the	bullenea	Plate Bird	ctures	
Thin plate   T17.2970  133.6152  157.4177  163.1629  205.0329  250.6276  288.3759  315.4649  333.818  3344.8050   FEA   116.0585  32.2859  155.1353  162.0501  202.5277  245.8025  285.2849  310.9836  329.1488  341.2128  Error (%)   1.06 %   0.99 %   1.45 %   0.68 %   1.22 %   1.93 %   1.07 %   1.42 %   1.40 %   1.04 %   1.04 %   PWA [34]   116.3483  132.6946  156.2238  162.5179  204.2924  249.0644  287.9310  313.999  331.4020  344.8195  Error (%)   0.81 %   0.69 %   0.76 %   0.40 %   0.36 %   0.62 %   0.15 %   0.46 %   0.72 %   0.00 %   0.15 k   0.00 %   0.15 %   0.00 %   0.05 %   0.05 %   0.046 %   0.72 %   0.00 %   0.15 k   0.00 %   0.01 %   0.02 %   0.05 %   0.09 %   0.08 %   0.13 %   0.11 kinck plate   116.9693  133.0809  156.7931  162.5141  203.6388  248.3967  286.5865  313.3714  331.4918  342.4816  Error (%)   0.28 %   0.40 %   0.40 %   0.40 %   0.68 %   0.89 %   0.62 %   0.66 %   0.70 %   0.67 %   0.67 %   0.68 k   0.89 %   0.62 %   0.66 %   0.70 %   0.67 %   0.67 %   0.34 %   0.43 %   0.95 %   0.49 %   0.78 %   1.13 %   0.72 %   0.72 %   0.77 %   0.70 %   0.70 %   0.70 %   0.70 %   0.11   12   13   14   15   16   17   18   19   20   1.19 %   1.59 %   1.59 %   1.53 %   1.70 %   1.73 %   1.65 %   2.00 %   1.70 %   1.50 %   1.88 %   PWA [34]   355.1865  363.5154  408.8164  410.7373  468.6566  483.0754  498.6525  542.5303  567.9249  612.0169   Error (%)   0.67 %   0.69 %   0.52 %   0.38 %   0.38 %   0.28 %   0.63 %   0.25 %   0.04 %   0.17 %   0.16 keam   4.10 keam	Mode No	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
FEA   116.0585   32.2859   155.1353   162.0501   202.5277   245.8025   285.2849   310.9836   329.1488   341.2128   Error (%)   1.06 %   0.99 %   1.45 %   0.68 %   1.22 %   1.93 %   1.07 %   1.42 %   1.40 %   1.04 %   PWA   341   116.3483   32.6946   156.2238   162.5179   204.2924   249.0644   287.9310   313.9991   331.4020   344.8195   Error (%)   0.81 %   0.69 %   0.76 %   0.40 %   0.36 %   0.62 %   0.15 %   0.46 %   0.72 %   0.00 %   Thick beam   17.2673   33.5897   157.1762   163.1187   205.0051   250.5731   288.2267   315.1864   333.5372   344.3693   Thin beam   4.0693   133.0809   156.7931   162.5141   203.6388   248.3967   286.5865   313.3714   331.4918   342.4816   Error (%)   0.28 %   0.40 %   0.40 %   0.40 %   0.68 %   0.89 %   0.62 %   0.66 %   0.70 %   0.67 %   Thick beam   16.9023   133.0416   155.9205   162.3656   203.4258   247.8000   286.2973   313.1879   331.2329   342.3908   Error (%)   0.34 %   0.43 %   0.95 %   0.49 %   0.78 %   1.13 %   0.72 %   0.72 %   0.77 %   0.70 %   Mode No   11   12   13   14   15   16   17   18   19   20   Thin beam   357.5669   366.0314   410.9364   412.3221   470.4234   484.4397   501.7932   543.8724   568.1331   613.0749   FEA   353.3012   360.2278   404.6380   405.3317   462.2912   476.4591   491.7497   534.6097   559.6128   601.5438   Error (%)   1.19 %   1.59 %   1.53 %   1.70 %   1.73 %   1.65 %   2.00 %   1.70 %   1.50 %   1.88 %   PWA   34]   355.1865   363.5154   408.8164   410.7373   468.6566   483.0754   498.6525   542.5303   567.9249   612.0169   Error (%)   0.09 %   0.01 %   0.10 %   0.03 %   0.11 %   0.05 %   0.63 %   0.25 %   0.04 %   0.17 %   0.17 %   0.09 %   0.09 %   0.01 %   0.10 %   0.38 %   0.38 %   0.28 %   0.63 %   0.25 %   0.04 %   0.17 %   0.16 %   0.09 %   0.01 %   0.10 %   0.38 %   0.38 %   0.28 %   0.63 %   0.25 %   0.04 %   0.17 %   0.10 %   0.30 %   0.11 %   0.05 %   0.05 %   0.13 %   0.02 %   0.05 %   0.15 %   0.09 %   0.01 %   0.10 %   0.30 %   0.11 %   0.05 %   0.05 %   0.13 %   0.02 %   0.05 %   0.05 %   0.05 %   0.05 %   0.05 %   0	Thin beam	117 2070	122 6152	157 4177	162 1620	205 0220	250 6276	200 2750	215 4640	222 0101	244 9050
Error (%) 1.06 % 0.99 % 1.45 % 0.68 % 1.22 % 1.93 % 1.07 % 1.42 % 1.40 % 1.04 % PWA [34] 116.3483   132.6946   156.2238   162.5179   204.2924   249.0644   287.9310   313.9991   331.4020   344.8195   Error (%) 0.81 % 0.69 % 0.76 % 0.40 % 0.36 % 0.62 % 0.15 % 0.46 % 0.72 % 0.00 %   Thick beam /thin plate   117.2673   133.5897   157.1762   163.1187   205.0051   250.5731   288.2267   315.1864   333.5372   344.3693   Error (%) 0.03 % 0.02 % 0.15 % 0.03 % 0.01 % 0.02 % 0.05 % 0.09 % 0.08 % 0.13 %   Thin beam /thick plate   116.9693   133.0809   156.7931   162.5141   203.6388   248.3967   286.5865   313.3714   331.4918   342.4816   Error (%) 0.28 % 0.40 % 0.40 % 0.40 % 0.68 % 0.89 % 0.62 % 0.66 % 0.70 % 0.67 %   Thick beam /thick plate   16.9023   133.0416   155.9205   162.3656   203.4258   247.8000   286.2973   313.1879   331.2329   342.3908   Error (%) 0.34 % 0.43 % 0.95 % 0.49 % 0.78 % 1.13 % 0.72 % 0.72 % 0.77 % 0.70 %   Mode No 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20   Thin beam /thin plate   FEA   353.3012   360.2278   404.6380   405.3317   462.2912   476.4591   491.7497   534.6097   559.6128   601.5438   Error (%) 1.19 % 1.59 % 1.53 % 1.70 % 1.73 % 1.65 % 2.00 % 1.70 % 1.50 % 1.88 %   PWA [34]   355.1865   363.5154408.8164   410.7373   468.6566   483.0754498.6525   542.5303   567.9249   612.0169   Error (%) 0.06 % 0.69 % 0.52 % 0.38 % 0.38 % 0.28 % 0.63 % 0.25 % 0.04 % 0.17 %   Thick beam /thin plate   257.2534   365.9982   410.5394   412.1816   469.9265   484.1766   501.5539   543.1513   568.0211   612.7629   Error (%) 0.09 % 0.01 % 0.10 % 0.03 % 0.11 % 0.05 % 0.05 % 0.13 % 0.02 % 0.05 %   Thin beam /thick plate   354.2019   362.6283   406.7519   408.5519   464.7690   479.8248   492.7883   356.4725   562.4874   605.4453   406.54458   406.7519   408.5519   404.7690   479.8248   492.7883   356.4725   562.4874   605.4453   406.54458   406.7519   408.5519   404.7690   479.8248   492.7883   356.4725   562.4874   605.4453   406.54453   406.7519   408.5519   404.7690   479.8248   492.7883   356.4725   562.4874   605.4453   406	/thin plate	117.2970	155.0152	137.4177	105.1029	203.0329	230.0270	200.3739	313.4049	333.6161	344.8030
PWA [34]   116.3483   132.6946   156.2238   162.5179   204.2924   249.0644   287.9310   313.9991   331.4020   344.8195	FEA	116.0585	132.2859	155.1353	162.0501	202.5277	245.8025	285.2849	310.9836	329.1488	341.2128
Error (%) 0.81 % 0.69 % 0.76 % 0.40 % 0.36 % 0.62 % 0.15 % 0.46 % 0.72 % 0.00 % Thick beam /thin plate   117.2673   133.5897   157.1762   163.1187   205.0051   250.5731   288.2267   315.1864   333.5372   344.3693     Error (%) 0.03 % 0.02 % 0.15 % 0.03 % 0.01 % 0.02 % 0.05 % 0.09 % 0.08 % 0.13 %     Thin beam /thick plate   116.9693   133.0809   156.7931   162.5141   203.6388   248.3967   286.5865   313.3714   331.4918   342.4816     Error (%) 0.28 % 0.40 % 0.40 % 0.40 % 0.68 % 0.89 % 0.62 % 0.66 % 0.70 % 0.67 %     Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.34 % 0.43 % 0.95 % 0.49 % 0.78 % 1.13 % 0.72 % 0.72 % 0.77 % 0.70 %     Mode No 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20     Thin beam /thin plate   575.5669   366.0314   410.9364   412.3221   470.4234   484.4397   501.7932   543.8724   568.1331   613.0749     FEA	Error (%)	1.06 %	0.99 %	1.45 %	0.68 %	1.22 %	1.93 %	1.07 %	1.42 %	1.40 %	1.04 %
Thick beam /thin plate   117.2673   133.5897   157.1762   163.1187   205.0051   250.5731   288.2267   315.1864   333.5372   344.3693   Error (%)   0.03 %   0.02 %   0.15 %   0.03 %   0.01 %   0.02 %   0.05 %   0.09 %   0.08 %   0.13 %   0.11 %   0.02 %   0.05 %   0.09 %   0.08 %   0.13 %   0.13 %   0.02 %   0.04 %   0.40 %   0.40 %   0.40 %   0.68 %   0.89 %   0.62 %   0.66 %   0.70 %   0.67 %   0.67 %   0.08 %   0.34 %   0.43 %   0.95 %   0.49 %   0.78 %   1.13 %   0.72 %   0.72 %   0.72 %   0.77 %   0.70 %	PWA [34]	116.3483	132.6946	156.2238	162.5179	204.2924	249.0644	287.9310	313.9991	331.4020	344.8195
Error (%) 0.03 % 0.02 % 0.15 % 0.03 % 0.01 % 0.02 % 0.05 % 0.09 % 0.08 % 0.13 % Thin beam /thick plate   116.9693   133.0809   156.7931   162.5141   203.6388   248.3967   286.5865   313.3714   331.4918   342.4816   Error (%) 0.28 % 0.40 % 0.40 % 0.40 % 0.68 % 0.89 % 0.62 % 0.66 % 0.70 % 0.67 % Thick beam /thick plate   116.9023   133.0416   155.9205   162.3656   203.4258   247.8000   286.2973   313.1879   331.2329   342.3908   Error (%) 0.34 % 0.43 % 0.95 % 0.49 % 0.78 % 1.13 % 0.72 % 0.72 % 0.77 % 0.70 % Mode No 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20   Thin beam /thin plate   357.5669   366.0314   410.9364   412.3221   470.4234   484.4397   501.7932   543.8724   568.1331   613.0749   FEA   353.3012   360.2278   404.6380   405.3317   462.2912   476.4591   491.7497   534.6097   559.6128   601.5438   Error (%) 1.19 % 1.59 % 1.53 % 1.70 % 1.73 % 1.65 % 2.00 % 1.70 % 1.50 % 1.88 % PWA [34]   355.1865   363.5154   408.8164   410.7373   468.6566   483.0754   498.6525   542.5303   567.9249   612.0169   Error (%) 0.67 % 0.69 % 0.52 % 0.38 % 0.38 % 0.28 % 0.63 % 0.25 % 0.04 % 0.17 % Thick beam /thin plate   357.2534   365.9982   410.5394   412.1816   469.9265   484.1766   501.5539   543.1513   568.0211   612.7629   Error (%) 0.09 % 0.01 % 0.10 % 0.03 % 0.11 % 0.05 % 0.05 % 0.13 % 0.02 % 0.05 % 0.15 % 0.99 % 1.19 % 0.05 % 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 % Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 % 0.19 % 0.86 % 0.86 % 0.86 % 0.88 % 0.99 % 0.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 % 0.19 % 0.86 % 0.86 % 0.86 % 0.88 % 0.99 % 0.05 % 0.99 % 0.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 % 0.05 % 0.99 %											
Error (%) 0.03 % 0.02 % 0.15 % 0.03 % 0.01 % 0.02 % 0.05 % 0.09 % 0.08 % 0.13 % Thin beam /thick plate   116.9693   133.0809   156.7931   162.5141   203.6388   248.3967   286.5865   313.3714   331.4918   342.4816   Error (%) 0.28 % 0.40 % 0.40 % 0.40 % 0.68 % 0.89 % 0.62 % 0.66 % 0.70 % 0.67 %   Thick beam /thick plate   116.9023   133.0416   155.9205   162.3656   203.4258   247.8000   286.2973   313.1879   331.2329   342.3908   Error (%) 0.34 % 0.43 % 0.95 % 0.49 % 0.78 % 1.13 % 0.72 % 0.72 % 0.77 % 0.70 %   Mode No 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20   Thin beam /thin plate   357.5669   366.0314   410.9364   412.3221   470.4234   484.4397   501.7932   543.8724   568.1331   613.0749   FEA   353.3012   360.2278   404.6380   405.3317   462.2912   476.4591   491.7497   534.6097   559.6128   601.5438   Error (%) 1.19 % 1.59 % 1.53 % 1.70 % 1.73 % 1.65 % 2.00 % 1.70 % 1.50 % 1.88 %   PWA [34]   355.1865   363.5154   408.8164   410.7373   468.6566   483.0754   498.6525   542.5303   567.9249   612.0169   Error (%) 0.67 % 0.69 % 0.52 % 0.38 % 0.38 % 0.28 % 0.63 % 0.25 % 0.04 % 0.17 %   Thick beam /thin plate   357.2534   365.9982   410.5394   412.1816   469.9265   484.1766   501.5539   543.1513   568.0211   612.7629   Error (%) 0.09 % 0.01 % 0.10 % 0.03 % 0.11 % 0.05 % 0.05 % 0.13 % 0.02 % 0.05 %   Thin beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 %	Thick beam	117 2672	122 5907	157 1762	162 1197	205 0051	250 5721	200 2267	215 1964	222 5272	244 2602
Thin beam /thick plate   116.9693   133.0809   156.7931   162.5141   203.6388   248.3967   286.5865   313.3714   331.4918   342.4816   Error (%)   0.28 %   0.40 %   0.40 %   0.40 %   0.68 %   0.89 %   0.62 %   0.66 %   0.70 %   0.67 %   1.68 beam /thick plate   116.9023   133.0416   155.9205   162.3656   203.4258   247.8000   286.2973   313.1879   331.2329   342.3908   Error (%)   0.34 %   0.43 %   0.95 %   0.49 %   0.78 %   1.13 %   0.72 %   0.72 %   0.77 %   0.70 %   Mode No   11   12   13   14   15   16   17   18   19   20   20   1.19 heam /thin plate   357.5669   366.0314   410.9364   412.3221   470.4234   484.4397   501.7932   543.8724   568.1331   613.0749   FEA   353.3012   360.2278   404.6380   405.3317   462.2912   476.4591   491.7497   534.6097   559.6128   601.5438   Error (%)   1.19 %   1.59 %   1.53 %   1.70 %   1.73 %   1.65 %   2.00 %   1.70 %   1.50 %   1.88 %   PWA [ 34]   355.1865   363.5154   408.8164   410.7373   468.6566   483.0754   498.6525   542.5303   567.9249   612.0169   Error (%)   0.67 %   0.69 %   0.52 %   0.38 %   0.38 %   0.28 %   0.63 %   0.25 %   0.04 %   0.17 %   Thick beam /thick plate   354.7001   363.1441   409.3298   408.7895   465.5134   480.3375   496.8309   538.1847   562.5051   605.7633   Error (%)   0.80 %   0.79 %   0.39 %   0.86 %   1.04 %   0.85 %   0.99 %   1.05 %   0.99 %   1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   354.2919   362.6283   406.7519   408.5519   464.7690   479.8248   492.7883   536.4725   562.4874   605.4453	/thin plate	117.2073	133.3697	137.1702	103.1167	203.0031	230.3731	200.2207	313.1604	333.3372	344.3093
/thick plate   116.9693   133.0809   156.7931   162.3141   203.6388   248.396   286.3863   313.3714   331.4918   342.4816   Error (%)   0.28 %   0.40 %   0.40 %   0.68 %   0.89 %   0.62 %   0.66 %   0.70 %   0.67 %   Thick beam   116.9023   133.0416   155.9205   162.3656   203.4258   247.8000   286.2973   313.1879   331.2329   342.3908   Error (%)   0.34 %   0.43 %   0.95 %   0.49 %   0.78 %   1.13 %   0.72 %   0.72 %   0.77 %   0.70 %   Mode No   11   12   13   14   15   16   17   18   19   20     20     Thin beam   357.5669   366.0314   410.9364   412.3221   470.4234   484.4397   501.7932   543.8724   568.1331   613.0749   FEA   353.3012   360.2278   404.6380   405.3317   462.2912   476.4591   491.7497   534.6097   559.6128   601.5438   Error (%)   1.19 %   1.59 %   1.53 %   1.70 %   1.73 %   1.65 %   2.00 %   1.70 %   1.50 %   1.88 %   PWA   [34]   355.1865   363.5154   408.8164   410.7373   468.6566   483.0754   498.6525   542.5303   567.9249   612.0169   Error (%)   0.67 %   0.69 %   0.52 %   0.38 %   0.38 %   0.28 %   0.63 %   0.25 %   0.04 %   0.17 %   Thick beam   357.2534   365.9982   410.5394   412.1816   469.9265   484.1766   501.5539   543.1513   568.0211   612.7629   Error (%)   0.09 %   0.01 %   0.10 %   0.03 %   0.11 %   0.05 %   0.05 %   0.13 %   0.02 %   0.05 %   0.05 %   0.13 %   0.02 %   0.05 %			0.02 %	0.15 %	0.03 %	0.01 %	0.02 %	0.05 %	0.09 %	0.08 %	0.13 %
Error (%)   0.28 %   0.40 %   0.40 %   0.40 %   0.68 %   0.89 %   0.62 %   0.66 %   0.70 %   0.67 %	Thin beam	116 0603	133 0800	156 7031	162 5141	202 6388	248 3067	286 5865	212 2714	221 /019	3/2 /816
Thick beam /thick plate   116.9023   133.0416   155.9205   162.3656   203.4258   247.8000   286.2973   313.1879   331.2329   342.3908   Error (%)   0.34 %   0.43 %   0.95 %   0.49 %   0.78 %   1.13 %   0.72 %   0.72 %   0.77 %   0.70 %   Mode No   11   12   13   14   15   16   17   18   19   20   Thin beam /thin plate   357.5669   366.0314   410.9364   412.3221   470.4234   484.4397   501.7932   543.8724   568.1331   613.0749   FEA   353.3012   360.2278   404.6380   405.3317   462.2912   476.4591   491.7497   534.6097   559.6128   601.5438   Error (%)   1.19 %   1.59 %   1.53 %   1.70 %   1.73 %   1.65 %   2.00 %   1.70 %   1.50 %   1.88 %   PWA [34]   355.1865   363.5154   408.8164   410.7373   468.6566   483.0754   498.6525   542.5303   567.9249   612.0169   Error (%)   0.67 %   0.69 %   0.52 %   0.38 %   0.38 %   0.28 %   0.63 %   0.25 %   0.04 %   0.17 %   Thick beam /thin plate   357.2534   365.9982   410.5394   412.1816   469.9265   484.1766   501.5539   543.1513   568.0211   612.7629   Error (%)   0.09 %   0.01 %   0.10 %   0.03 %   0.11 %   0.05 %   0.05 %   0.13 %   0.02 %   0.05 %   Thin beam /thick plate   354.7001   363.1441   409.3298   408.7895   465.5134   480.3375   496.8309   538.1847   562.5051   605.7633   Error (%)   0.80 %   0.79 %   0.39 %   0.86 %   1.04 %   0.85 %   0.99 %   1.05 %   0.99 %   1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   354.2919   362.6283   406.7519   408.5519   464.7690   479.8248   492.7883   536.4725   562.4874   605.4453	/thick plate	110.9093	133.0009	130.7931	102.3141	203.0366	240.3307	200.3003	313.3/14	331.4910	342.4010
/thick plate         I16.9023 I33.0416 I55.9205 I62.3656 203.4258 247.8000 286.2973 313.1879 331.2329 342.3908           Error (%)         0.34 %         0.43 %         0.95 %         0.49 %         0.78 %         1.13 %         0.72 %         0.72 %         0.77 %         0.70 %           Mode No         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20           Thin beam /thin plate         357.5669 366.0314410.9364412.3221 470.4234484.4397 501.7932 543.8724 568.1331 613.0749         FEA         353.3012 360.2278404.6380 405.3317 462.2912 476.4591 491.7497 534.6097 559.6128 601.5438           Error (%)         1.19 %         1.59 %         1.53 %         1.70 %         1.73 %         1.65 %         2.00 %         1.70 %         1.50 %         1.88 %           PWA [34]         355.1865 363.5154408.8164410.7373 468.6566483.0754498.6525 542.5303 567.9249 612.0169         Error (%)         0.67 %         0.69 %         0.52 %         0.38 %         0.28 %         0.63 %         0.25 %         0.04 %         0.17 %           Thick beam /thin plate         357.2534 365.9982 410.5394 412.1816 469.9265 484.1766 501.5539 543.1513 568.0211 612.7629         Error (%)         0.09 %         0.01 %         0.10 %         0.03 %         0.11 %         0.05 %         0.13 %         0.02 %         0.05	Error (%)	0.28 %	0.40 %	0.40 %	0.40 %	0.68 %	0.89 %	0.62 %	0.66 %	0.70 %	0.67 %
Error (%) 0.34 % 0.43 % 0.95 % 0.49 % 0.78 % 1.13 % 0.72 % 0.72 % 0.77 % 0.70 % Mode No 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20  Thin beam /thin plate FEA 353.3012 360.2278 404.6380 405.3317 462.2912 476.4591 491.7497 534.6097 559.6128 601.5438 Error (%) 1.19 % 1.59 % 1.53 % 1.70 % 1.73 % 1.65 % 2.00 % 1.70 % 1.50 % 1.88 % PWA [34] 355.1865 363.5154 408.8164 410.7373 468.6566 483.0754 498.6525 542.5303 567.9249 612.0169 Error (%) 0.67 % 0.69 % 0.52 % 0.38 % 0.38 % 0.28 % 0.63 % 0.25 % 0.04 % 0.17 % Thick beam /thin plate Error (%) 0.09 % 0.01 % 0.10 % 0.03 % 0.11 % 0.05 % 0.05 % 0.13 % 0.02 % 0.05 % Thin beam /thick plate Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 % Thick beam /thick plate 354.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453	Thick beam	116 0023	133 0/16	155 0205	162 3656	203 4258	247 8000	286 2073	212 1970	331 2320	342 3008
Mode No         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         20           Thin beam /thin plate         357.5669         366.0314410.9364412.3221470.4234484.4397 501.7932 543.8724 568.1331 613.0749           FEA         353.3012360.2278404.6380405.3317462.2912476.4591491.7497534.6097 559.6128 601.5438           Error (%)         1.19 % 1.59 % 1.53 % 1.70 % 1.73 % 1.65 % 2.00 % 1.70 % 1.50 % 1.88 %           PWA [34] 355.1865 363.5154408.8164410.7373 468.6566483.0754498.6525 542.5303 567.9249 612.0169           Error (%)         0.67 % 0.69 % 0.52 % 0.38 % 0.38 % 0.28 % 0.63 % 0.25 % 0.04 % 0.17 %           Thick beam /thin plate         357.2534 365.9982 410.5394 412.1816 469.9265 484.1766 501.5539 543.1513 568.0211 612.7629           Error (%)         0.09 % 0.01 % 0.10 % 0.03 % 0.11 % 0.05 % 0.05 % 0.13 % 0.02 % 0.05 %           Thin beam /thick plate         354.7001 363.1441 409.3298 408.7895 465.5134 480.3375 496.8309 538.1847 562.5051 605.7633           Error (%)         0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %           Thick beam /thick plate         354.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453	/thick plate	110.9023	133.0410			203.4236	247.8000	200.2973	313.10/9	331.2329	342.3300
Thin beam /thin plate FEA 353.3012360.2278404.6380405.3317462.2912476.4591491.7497534.6097559.6128601.5438   Error (%) 1.19 % 1.59 % 1.53 % 1.70 % 1.73 % 1.65 % 2.00 % 1.70 % 1.50 % 1.88 %   PWA [34] 355.1865363.5154408.8164410.7373468.6566483.0754498.6525542.5303567.9249612.0169   Error (%) 0.67 % 0.69 % 0.52 % 0.38 % 0.38 % 0.28 % 0.63 % 0.25 % 0.04 % 0.17 %   Thick beam /thin plate   Error (%) 0.09 % 0.01 % 0.10 % 0.03 % 0.11 % 0.05 % 0.05 % 0.13 % 0.02 % 0.05 %   Thin beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0.90 % 1.05 % 0	Error (%)	0.34 %	0.43 %	0.95 %	0.49 %	0.78 %	1.13 %	0.72 %	0.72 %	0.77 %	0.70 %
FEA 353.3012 360.2278 404.6380 405.3317 462.2912 476.4591 491.7497 534.6097 559.6128 601.5438   Error (%) 1.19 % 1.59 % 1.53 % 1.70 % 1.73 % 1.65 % 2.00 % 1.70 % 1.50 % 1.88 %   PWA [34] 355.1865 363.5154 408.8164 410.7373 468.6566 483.0754 498.6525 542.5303 567.9249 612.0169   Error (%) 0.67 % 0.69 % 0.52 % 0.38 % 0.38 % 0.28 % 0.63 % 0.25 % 0.04 % 0.17 %   Thick beam /thin plate   Error (%) 0.09 % 0.01 % 0.10 % 0.03 % 0.11 % 0.05 % 0.05 % 0.13 % 0.02 % 0.05 %   Thin beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   S54.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453											
FEA 353.3012 360.2278 404.6380 405.3317 462.2912 476.4591 491.7497 534.6097 559.6128 601.5438   Error (%) 1.19 % 1.59 % 1.53 % 1.70 % 1.73 % 1.65 % 2.00 % 1.70 % 1.50 % 1.88 %   PWA [34] 355.1865 363.5154408.8164 410.7373 468.6566 483.0754 498.6525 542.5303 567.9249 612.0169   Error (%) 0.67 % 0.69 % 0.52 % 0.38 % 0.38 % 0.28 % 0.63 % 0.25 % 0.04 % 0.17 %   Thick beam /thin plate   Error (%) 0.09 % 0.01 % 0.10 % 0.03 % 0.11 % 0.05 % 0.05 % 0.13 % 0.02 % 0.05 %   Thin beam /thick plate   Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 %   Thick beam /thick plate   S54.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453	Thin beam	357 5660	366 0314	410 036 <i>4</i>	412 3221	470 4234	181 1307	501 7032	5/13 872/	568 1331	613 0740
Error (%)         1.19 %         1.59 %         1.53 %         1.70 %         1.73 %         1.65 %         2.00 %         1.70 %         1.50 %         1.88 %           PWA [34]         355.1865 363.5154408.8164410.7373468.6566483.0754498.6525 542.5303 567.9249612.0169           Error (%)         0.67 %         0.69 %         0.52 %         0.38 %         0.28 %         0.63 %         0.25 %         0.04 %         0.17 %           Thick beam /thin plate         357.2534 365.9982410.5394412.1816469.9265484.1766501.5539543.1513 568.0211612.7629         Error (%)         0.09 %         0.01 %         0.10 %         0.03 %         0.11 %         0.05 %         0.13 %         0.02 %         0.05 %           Thin beam /thick plate         354.7001 363.1441409.3298408.7895465.5134480.3375496.8309538.1847562.5051605.7633         605.7633	/thin plate	337.3007	300.0317	710.7307	712.3221	7/0.7237	707.7371	301.7732	J7J.0727	306.1331	013.077
PWA [34]       355.1865       363.5154408.8164410.7373468.6566       483.0754498.6525       542.5303       567.9249       612.0169         Error (%)       0.67 %       0.69 %       0.52 %       0.38 %       0.38 %       0.28 %       0.63 %       0.25 %       0.04 %       0.17 %         Thick beam /thin plate       357.2534       365.9982410.5394412.1816469.9265484.1766501.5539       543.1513       568.0211612.7629         Error (%)       0.09 %       0.01 %       0.10 %       0.03 %       0.11 %       0.05 %       0.05 %       0.13 %       0.02 %       0.05 %         Thin beam /thick plate       354.7001       363.1441409.3298408.7895465.5134480.3375496.8309538.1847562.5051605.7633       605.7633         Error (%)       0.80 %       0.79 %       0.39 %       0.86 %       1.04 %       0.85 %       0.99 %       1.05 %       0.99 %       1.19 %         Thick beam /thick plate       354.2919       362.6283406.7519408.5519464.7690479.8248492.7883       536.4725       562.4874605.4453	FEA	353.3012	360.2278	404.6380	405.3317		476.4591	491.7497	534.6097	559.6128	601.5438
Error (%)         0.67 %         0.69 %         0.52 %         0.38 %         0.38 %         0.28 %         0.63 %         0.25 %         0.04 %         0.17 %           Thick beam /thin plate         357.2534 365.9982 410.5394 412.1816 469.9265 484.1766 501.5539 543.1513 568.0211 612.7629           Error (%)         0.09 %         0.01 %         0.10 %         0.03 %         0.11 %         0.05 %         0.13 %         0.02 %         0.05 %           Thin beam /thick plate         354.7001 363.1441 409.3298 408.7895 465.5134 480.3375 496.8309 538.1847 562.5051 605.7633         Error (%)         0.80 %         0.79 %         0.39 %         0.86 %         1.04 %         0.85 %         0.99 %         1.05 %         0.99 %         1.19 %           Thick beam /thick plate         354.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453	Error (%)	1.19 %	1.59 %	1.53 %	1.70 %	1.73 %	1.65 %	2.00 %	1.70 %	1.50 %	1.88 %
Thick beam /thin plate Error (%) 0.09 % 0.01 % 0.10 % 0.03 % 0.11 % 0.05 % 0.05 % 0.13 % 0.02 % 0.05 % Thin beam /thick plate Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 % Thick beam /thick plate 354.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453	PWA [34]	355.1865	363.5154	408.8164	410.7373	468.6566	483.0754	498.6525		567.9249	612.0169
Error (%)         0.09 %         0.01 %         0.10 %         0.03 %         0.11 %         0.05 %         0.05 %         0.13 %         0.02 %         0.05 %           Thin beam thick plate         354.7001 363.1441 409.3298 408.7895 465.5134 480.3375 496.8309 538.1847 562.5051 605.7633         605.7633           Error (%)         0.80 %         0.79 %         0.39 %         0.86 %         1.04 %         0.85 %         0.99 %         1.05 %         0.99 %         1.19 %           Thick beam thick plate         354.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453											
Error (%)         0.09 %         0.01 %         0.10 %         0.03 %         0.11 %         0.05 %         0.05 %         0.13 %         0.02 %         0.05 %           Thin beam thick plate         354.7001 363.1441 409.3298 408.7895 465.5134 480.3375 496.8309 538.1847 562.5051 605.7633           Error (%)         0.80 %         0.79 %         0.39 %         0.86 %         1.04 %         0.85 %         0.99 %         1.05 %         0.99 %         1.19 %           Thick beam thick plate         354.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453	Thick beam	257 2524	365 0082	410 5304	112 1816	460 0265	181 1766	501 5530	5/12 1512	568 0211	612 7620
Thin beam /thick plate Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 % Thick beam /thick plate 354.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453	/thin plate	337.2334	303.9962	410.3334		409.9203		301.3339	J <del>4</del> J.1J1J	306.0211	012.7029
Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 % Thick beam /thick plate 354.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453											
Error (%) 0.80 % 0.79 % 0.39 % 0.86 % 1.04 % 0.85 % 0.99 % 1.05 % 0.99 % 1.19 % Thick beam /thick plate 354.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453	Thin beam	354 7001	363 1441	100 3208	108 7805	165 5131	180 3375	106 8300	538 1847	562 5051	605 7633
Thick beam /thick plate 354.2919 362.6283 406.7519 408.5519 464.7690 479.8248 492.7883 536.4725 562.4874 605.4453	/thick plate	334.7001	303.1441	409.3290	400.7693	405.5154		470.0307	JJ0.10 <del>4</del> /	302.3031	003.7033
						-					
	Thick beam										
Error (%)   0.92 %   0.93 %   1.02 %   0.91 %   1.20 %   0.95 %   1.79 %   1.36 %   0.99 %   1.24 %		25/1 2010	262 6202	106 7510	MNQ 5510	464 7600	170 8216	107 7002	536 1775	567 1871	605 1152
	/thick plate	354.2919	362.6283			464.7690	479.8248	492.7883	536.4725	562.4874	605.4453

Subsequently, based on the analytical model of the stiffened Kirchhoff or Mindlin plate with fully clamped boundary, the first 20 natural frequencies of the thick beam stiffened thin plate, thin beam stiffened thick plate and the thick beam stiffened thick plate, and their deviations from the

first 20 natural frequencies of the thin beam stiffened thin plate are also calculated. It can be found that the deviation between them shows an increasing trend as the frequency increases, which is as expected that the stiffened thin plate model is only good for low frequency vibration prediction [38].

## 3.2. Input mobilities

The input mobilities of the stiffened thin plate due to a unit point force excitation using finite integral transform technique and propagation wave technique are compared in Fig. 2. It is shown that the frequency responses of the stiffened thin plate using the two different techniques agree well with each other. There is some deviation between them at the higher peak frequencies.




Fig. 2. Input mobility of the stiffened thin plate under the point force excitation using two different techniques

A convergent test is performed below to examine the effect of modal truncation on the accuracy of the results using the current method. In this test, the resonant frequency of the highest order mode in the 1000 Hz range is calculated using the  $400\times400$  terms of modal truncation (m=400, n=400) as the benchmark [9]. The modal frequency of the same mode is calculated for the modal truncation number from  $50\times50$  terms to  $400\times400$  terms with an increment of  $10\times10$  terms, and the errors of each calculated result from the benchmark are shown in Fig. 3. It is shown that the result converges gradually as more modal truncation terms are included in the calculation. The error induced is less than 1 % when the modal truncation number exceed  $100\times100$  terms, while the series converges quite well when the included terms in the calculation are over  $350\times350$ .




Fig. 3. A convergence test of the modal truncation for the clamped stiffened plate

## 3.3. Characteristics of the energy flow across the beam

The energy flow on the beam/plate interface comprises two components, one governed by the shear force coupling:

$$\langle P_Q \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^b Q \dot{U}^* \, dy, \tag{37}$$

and the other controlled by the moment coupling:

$$\langle P_M \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^b M \dot{\theta}^* \, dy. \tag{38}$$

The energy flow components based on the thin beam/thin plate model across the beam due to the point force excitation applied on the plate are calculated and shown in Fig. 4. It is found that the energy flow characteristics of the beam/plate coupling interface depend on the plate vibration modes and can generally be classified into three categories: (a) modal energy flow is dominated by the shear force component where the moment component has a negligible contribution (e.g., category (a) in Fig. 4); (b) modal energy flow at the beam/plate interface is roughly carried by equal contribution from the shear force and moment couplings (e.g., category (b) in Fig. 4); and (c) modal energy flow across the beam is borne predominately by the shear force coupling, along with a noticeable contribution from the moment component (e.g., category (c) in Fig. 4). Further, it is discussed in detail how to determine the energy flow characteristics of the vibration modes of the stiffened plate at the beam/plate coupling interface.




Fig. 4. The energy flow across the beam due to the point force excitation applied on the plate

#### Mode group (a):

It is found that if a stiffener is inserted near or at antinode line of the modal shapes of the stiffened plate, the stiffener is subjected to larger bending deformation in the modal vibration. In this case, the shear force component dominates the energy flow across the beam. Examples of the beam position for this mode group are shown in Fig. 5.

#### Mode group (b):

For this group of modes, the beam is located at or close to the nodal line of the modes where the beam undergoes a very small deformation in the modal vibration. Thus, both the shear force and moment couplings contribute equally to the energy flow across the beam. Examples of the beam position for this mode group are shown in Fig. 6.




Fig. 5. a) Mode shape distribution at 246 Hz, b) mode shape distribution at 921 Hz




Fig. 6. a) Mode shape distribution at 357 Hz, b) mode shape distribution at 567 Hz

## Mode group (c):

This group of modes can be considered as the general case of modal vibration of the stiffened plate where the beam is neither located at/near the antinode line of modes nor the nodal line of modes. For this group of modes, the beam undergoes a certain degree of bending deformation in the modal vibration, and thus the energy flow across the beam is carried predominately by the shear force coupling, while the moment coupling also provide a significant contribution. The contribution of these two coupling components to the total energy flow depends on the relative distance between the beam and the nodal line of modes. The closer the relative position of the beam to the nodal line of a mode, the greater the contribution of the moment component to the energy flow, and vice versa. Examples of the beam position for this mode group are shown in Fig. 7.




Fig. 7. a) Mode shape distribution at 205 Hz, b) mode shape distribution at 683 Hz

## 3.4. Effects of shear deformation and rotatory inertia on the energy flow

Based on the dynamic model of the clamped stiffened Mindlin plate presented in this paper, the effects of shear deformation and rotatory inertia of the beam and plate on the energy flow across the beam are investigated by setting whether the shear deformation and rotatory inertia of the beam and plate are considered in the simulation calculation. Fig. 8 compares the effect of rotatory inertia of the beam and plate on the energy flow component  $\langle P_M \rangle$  controlled by the moment coupling. The results indicate that the peak response frequency is hardly affected by the rotatory inertia, which is consistent with the conclusion drawn from the study of ribbed thick plates with simply supported boundary conditions [38]. It is shown that the inclusion of rotatory inertia increases the amplitude of this energy component though the peak response frequencies are almost not affected.

Fig. 9 compares the effect of shear deformation of the beam and plate on the energy flow component  $\langle P_M \rangle$ . It is shown that the inclusion of shear deformation for the beam or plate produces a large attenuation of the amplitude of the energy flow borne by  $\langle P_M \rangle$  in Mode group (c), but little effect on the other groups of modes. Simultaneously, compared with the energy flow without considering the shear deformation of the beam and plate, the peak frequencies of the energy flow after the plate including shear deformation are biased small and the deviation gradually increases with the increase of the studied frequency, while the shear deformation of the beam does not play

a significant role in this.

Fig. 10 compares the effect of rotatory inertia of the beam and plate on the energy flow component  $\langle P_Q \rangle$ . As expected, it is shown that the inclusion of rotatory inertia of the beam and plate have little effect on the energy flow component  $\langle P_Q \rangle$  controlled by the shear force coupling, and they only affect the energy flow component  $\langle P_M \rangle$  controlled by the moment coupling.




Fig. 8. a) Effect of rotatory inertia of the beam on  $\langle P_M \rangle$ , b) effect of rotatory inertia of the plate on  $\langle P_M \rangle$ 




Fig. 9. a) Effect of shear deformation of the beam on  $\langle P_M \rangle$ , b) effect of shear deformation of the plate on  $\langle P_M \rangle$ 




Fig. 10. a) Effect of rotatory inertia of the beam on  $\langle P_O \rangle$ , b) effect of rotatory inertia of the plate on  $\langle P_O \rangle$ 

It is shown in Fig. 11 that the inclusion of shear deformation in the beam or plate causes a decreased amplitude of  $\langle P_Q \rangle$  for modes in Mode group (c). The effect of shear deformation of the beam on the modes in other groups is less significant, as shown in Fig. 11(a). However, it is shown in Fig. 11(b) that the shear deformation of the plate can lead to an increased amplitude of  $\langle P_Q \rangle$  for modes in Mode group (b) due to the increased deformation of the beam in the modal vibration. Further, the main research results on the effects of shear deformation and rotatory inertia of the beam and plate on the energy flow component  $\langle P_M \rangle$  and  $\langle P_Q \rangle$  across the beam are summarized and listed in Table 3.




Fig. 11. a) Effect of shear deformation of the beam on  $\langle P_Q \rangle$ , b) Effect of shear deformation of the plate on  $\langle P_Q \rangle$ 

**Table 3.** The effects of shear deformation and rotatory inertia of the beam or plate on  $\langle P_M \rangle$  and  $\langle P_O \rangle$ 

Factor	Structure	$\langle P_M \rangle$	$\langle P_Q \rangle$	
	Beam	Increased amplitude	Little effect	
Rotatory inertia	Plate	Increased amplitude	Little effect	
	Beam	Mode group (c)	Mode group (c)	
Shear deformation	Plate	Mode group (c)	Mode group (b), (c)	

## 4. Conclusions

Analytical solutions using finite integral transform technique are presented for the analysis of the vibration and energy flow of stiffened thin plates and stiffened thick plates with a fully clamped boundary. Results predicted using the current model are compared to those predicted using the FEA and PWA for validation. It is found that the vibration results predicted by all three techniques are in satisfactory agreement. The models are then utilized to study the effects of shear deformation and rotatory inertia of the beam and plate on the energy flow across the beam due to a point force excitation. Final conclusions are as follows:

- 1) The rotatory inertia of the beam and plate mainly affects the energy flow component  $\langle P_M \rangle$ , and takes very little effect on the energy flow component  $\langle P_Q \rangle$ .
- 2) The shear deformation of the beam and plate causes the  $\langle P_M \rangle$  amplitude to decrease for the group of modes where the beam is located at neither the nodal nor antinodal lines of modes, which is the same as the effect of shear deformation of the beam on the  $\langle P_O \rangle$ .
- 3) However, the shear deformation of the plate leads not only to a decrease in the  $\langle P_Q \rangle$  amplitude for the group of modes where the beam is located at neither the nodal nor antinodal lines of modes, but also to an increased amplitude of  $\langle P_Q \rangle$  for the group of modes where the beam is located at the antinodal line of modes due to an increased deformation of the beam.

## Acknowledgements

The financial support from the Qingdao Postdoctoral Applied Research Program (No. 862205040040) of Qingdao Municipal Government of the People's Republic of China and Doctoral Research Initiation Fund Project of Qingdao Huanghai University for this work are gratefully acknowledged.

## Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

#### **Author contributions**

Hui Guo: investigation, software, methodology, validation, writing-original draft. Kai Zhang: conceptualization, software, methodology, validation, supervision, funding acquisition, project administration, writing-original draft, writing-review and editing.

#### Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### References

- [1] R. S. Langley, J. R. D. Smith, and F. J. Fahy, "Statistical energy analysis of periodically stiffened damped plate structures," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 208, No. 3, pp. 407–426, Dec. 1997, https://doi.org/10.1006/jsvi.1997.1150
- [2] A. N. Bercin, "Analysis of energy flow in thick plate structures," Computers and Structures, Vol. 62, No. 4, pp. 747–756, Feb. 1997, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0045-7949(96)00235-0
- [3] T. R. Lin, A. C. C. Tan, C. Yan, and D. Hargreaves, "Vibration of L-shaped plates under a deterministic force or moment excitation: a case of statistical energy analysis application," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 330, No. 20, pp. 4780–4797, Sep. 2011, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2011.04.015
- [4] E. C. N. Wester and B. R. Mace, "Wave component analysis of energy flow in complex structures Part I: a deterministic model," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 285, No. 1-2, pp. 209–227, Jul. 2005, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2004.08.025
- [5] E. C. N. Wester and B. R. Mace, "Wave component analysis of energy flow in complex structures-Part II: ensemble statistics," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 285, No. 1-2, pp. 229–250, Jul. 2005, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2004.08.026
- [6] E. C. N. Wester and B. R. Mace, "Wave component analysis of energy flow in complex structures Part III: two coupled plates," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 285, No. 1-2, pp. 251–265, Jul. 2005, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2004.08.027
- [7] T. Y. Li, X. Zhu, Y. Zhao, and X. F. Hu, "The wave propagation and vibrational energy flow characteristics of a plate with a part-through surface crack," *International Journal of Engineering Science*, Vol. 47, No. 10, pp. 1025–1037, Oct. 2009, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijengsci.2009.04.003
- [8] C. Pany, S. Parthan, and S. Mukherjee, "Vibration analysis of multi-supported curved panel using the periodic structure approach," *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, Vol. 44, No. 2, pp. 269–285, Feb. 2002, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7403(01)00099-6
- [9] C. Pany and S. Parthan, "Axial wave propagation in infinitely long periodic curved panels," *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, Vol. 125, No. 1, pp. 24–30, Jan. 2003, https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1526510
- [10] C. Pany, "An insight on the estimation of wave propagation constants in an orthogonal grid of a simple line-supported periodic plate using a finite element mathematical model," *Frontiers in Mechanical Engineering*, Vol. 8, p. 926559, Jul. 2022, https://doi.org/10.3389/fmech.2022.926559
- [11] C. Pany, S. Parthan, and M. Mukhopadhyay, "Free vibration analysis of an orthogonally supported multi-span curved panel," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 241, No. 2, pp. 315–318, Mar. 2001, https://doi.org/10.1006/jsvi.2000.3240
- [12] C. Zhang, H. Ding, H. Chen, G. Jin, T. Ye, and Y. Chen, "Dynamic modeling and characteristic analysis of the periodically coupled plate structure based on the dynamic stiffness method," *Results in Physics*, Vol. 11, pp. 1150–1160, Dec. 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2018.11.017
- [13] V. Cotoni, A. Le Bot, and L. Jezequel, "Sound transmission through plates, some fundamentals," Formulas of Acoustics, Vol. 88, No. 6, pp. 525–532, Jan. 2008, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-76833-3 156
- [14] S.-H. Seo, S.-Y. Hong, and H.-G. Kil, "Power flow analysis of reinforced beam-plate coupled structures," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 259, No. 5, pp. 1109–1129, Jan. 2003, https://doi.org/10.1006/jsvi.2002.5118
- [15] J.-H. Song, S.-Y. Hong, Y. Kang, and H.-G. Kil, "Vibrational energy flow analysis of penetration beamplate coupled structures," *Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology*, Vol. 25, No. 3, pp. 567–576, May 2011, https://doi.org/10.1007/s12206-011-0101-0

- [16] J.-B. Han, S.-Y. Hong, and J.-H. Song, "Energy flow model for thin plate considering fluid loading with mean flow," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 331, No. 24, pp. 5326–5346, Nov. 2012, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2012.06.017
- [17] Y. Ma, Y. Zhang, and D. Kennedy, "Energy flow analysis of mid-frequency vibration of coupled plate structures with a hybrid analytical wave and finite element model," *Computers and Structures*, Vol. 175, pp. 1–14, Oct. 2016, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruc.2016.06.007
- [18] C. Zhu and J. Yang, "Vibration transmission and energy flow analysis of variable stiffness laminated composite plates," *Thin-Walled Structures*, Vol. 180, p. 109927, Nov. 2022, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2022.109927
- [19] C. Zhou, J. Yang, Y. Zhu, and C. Zhu, "Energy transfer and vibration suppression of laminated composite plates coupled with a line hinge," *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures*, pp. 1–19, Feb. 2023, https://doi.org/10.1080/15376494.2023.2177910
- [20] X. Teng, Y. Han, X. Jiang, X. Chen, and M. Zhou, "Energy flow analysis model of high-frequency vibration response for plates with free layer damping treatment," *Mathematics*, Vol. 11, No. 6, p. 1379, Mar. 2023, https://doi.org/10.3390/math11061379
- [21] G. Krishnappa and J. M. Mcdougall, "Sound intensity distribution and energy flow in the nearfield of a clamped circular plate," *Journal of Vibration and Acoustics*, Vol. 111, No. 4, pp. 465–471, Oct. 1989, https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3269884
- [22] J. Cieślik and W. Bochniak, "Vibration energy flow in ribbed plates," *Mechanics*, Vol. 25, No. 3, pp. 119–123, 2006.
- [23] G. Pavić, "Numerical study of vibration damping, energy and energy flow in a beam-plate system," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 291, No. 3-5, pp. 902–931, Apr. 2006, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2005.07.020
- [24] A. N. Bercin, "An assessment of the effects of in-plane vibrations on the energy flow between coupled plates," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 191, No. 5, pp. 661–680, Apr. 1996, https://doi.org/10.1006/jsvi.1996.0149
- [25] F. Han, R. J. Bernhard, and L. G. Mongeau, "Energy flow analysis of vibrating beams and plates for discrete random excitations," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 208, No. 5, pp. 841–859, Dec. 1997, https://doi.org/10.1006/jsvi.1997.1205
- [26] R. L. Weaver, "Mean-square responses in a plate with sprung masses, energy flow and diffusion," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, Vol. 103, No. 1, pp. 414–427, Jan. 1998, https://doi.org/10.1121/1.421097
- [27] N. J. Kessissoglou, "Active control of the plate energy transmission in a semi-infinite ribbed plate," The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, Vol. 107, No. 1, pp. 324–331, Jan. 2000, https://doi.org/10.1121/1.428349
- [28] S. V. Sorokin, "Analysis of vibrations and energy flows in sandwich plates bearing concentrated masses and spring-like inclusions in heavy fluid-loading conditions," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 253, No. 2, pp. 485–505, May 2002, https://doi.org/10.1006/jsvi.2001.4065
- [29] X. D. Xu, H. P. Lee, Y. Y. Wang, and C. Lu, "The energy flow analysis in stiffened plates of marine structures," *Thin-Walled Structures*, Vol. 42, No. 7, pp. 979–994, Jul. 2004, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2004.03.006
- [30] Z. H. Wang, J. T. Xing, and W. G. Price, "A study of power flow in a coupled plate-cylindrical shell system," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 271, No. 3-5, pp. 863–882, Apr. 2004, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0022-460x(03)00757-0
- [31] C. Zhu, J. Yang, and C. Rudd, "Vibration transmission and power flow of laminated composite plates with inerter-based suppression configurations," *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, Vol. 190, p. 10601, 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/j
- [32] D. Tang, X. Yao, and G. Wu, "Free vibration analysis of plate/shell coupled structures by the method of reverberation-ray matrix," *Journal of Vibroengineering*, Vol. 18, No. 5, pp. 3117–3137, Aug. 2016, https://doi.org/10.21595/jve.2016.16950
- [33] C. Wang, H. B. Chen, Y. Y. Wang, and K. J. Li, "Research on high frequency vibro-acoustic response of typical structure based on finite element method," *Vibroengineering Procedia*, Vol. 10, pp. 436–441, 2016.
- [34] T. R. Lin, "An analytical and experimental study of the vibration response of a clamped ribbed plate," *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 331, No. 4, pp. 902–913, Feb. 2012, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsv.2011.10.013

- [35] J. W. Miles, *Integral Transforms in Applied Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 1971, https://doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511897351
- [36] K. Zhang, J. Pan, and T. R. Lin, "Vibration of rectangular plates stiffened by orthogonal beams," Journal of Sound and Vibration, Vol. 513, p. 116424, Nov. 2021, https://doi.org/10.1016/i.jsv.2021.116424
- [37] L. Cremer, M. Heckl, and B. A. T. Petersson, *Structure-Borne Sound*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2005, https://doi.org/10.1007/b137728
- [38] K. Zhang and T. R. Lin, "An analytical study of vibration response of a beam stiffened Mindlin plate," Applied Acoustics, Vol. 155, pp. 32–43, Dec. 2019, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apacoust.2019.05.004
- [39] C. W. Lim, K. M. Liew, and S. Kitipornchai, "Numerical aspects for free vibration of thick plates part I: formulation and verification," *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, Vol. 156, No. 1-4, pp. 15–29, Apr. 1998, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0045-7825(97)00197-7
- [40] K. Zhang, T. R. Lin, H. Guo, and B. Zhang, "The effect of ribs on the sound radiation directivity of rectangular plates," *Physics of Fluids*, Vol. 34, No. 12, p. 12210, Dec. 2022, https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0127918



**Hui Guo** received her Ph.D. in Qingdao University of Technology, Qingdao, China, in 2023. Now she works in Department of Mechanical Engineering at Qingdao Huanghai University. Her research interests include vibration and noise control, and active vibroacoustic control.



Kai Zhang received his Ph.D. in Qingdao University of Technology, Qingdao, China, in 2021. He was a visiting scholar at the University of Western Australia from November 2019 to May 2020, and a postdoctoral fellow in the Department of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering at Ocean University of China from 2021 to 2023. Now he works in the School of Mechanical and Automotive Engineering at Qingdao University of Technology. His research interests include structural acoustics, fluid–structure interaction, and active vibroacoustic control.