Calculation on maximum output power of wave energy-PTO system

Qingyuan Rong

School of Mechanical Engineering, Hubei University of Technology, Wuhan, China E-mail: 972953909@qq.com

Received 18 November 2023; accepted 15 December 2023; published online 4 April 2024 DOI https://doi.org/10.21595/vp.2023.23797



68th International Conference on Vibroengineering in Almaty, Kazakhstan, April 4-6, 2024

Copyright © 2024 Qingyuan Rong. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. The energy conversion efficiency of wave energy device is one of the key problems in the large-scale utilization of wave energy. The study of the maximum output POWER of PTO (Power-Take-Off) system provides a theoretical reference for the efficient utilization of energy. In this paper, genetic algorithm and adaptive differential evolution algorithm based on neighborhood search are used to optimize the two-objective multi-order differential equations for the maximum output power of PTO system, and the global optimal solution is obtained. Compared with the traversal algorithm, the algorithm involved in this paper is efficient and the optimal output power obtained can provide a scientific basis for the structural optimization design and material selection of the wave energy device.

Keywords: genetic algorithm, adaptive differential evolution algorithm, multivariate secondorder differential equation.

1. Introduction

Nowadays, people pay more and more attention to the development of new energy. As an important marine renewable energy, wave energy is widely distributed and abundant in reserves. The energy conversion efficiency of wave energy device is one of the key problems in the large-scale utilization of wave energy. The device generally involves two kinds of motion forms: heave and pitch.

At present, the time-frequency comprehensive analysis method is often used for the sag motion of PTO systems. Charitini et al. [1] converted the impulse response function in the float dynamic equation into state space through frequency domain identification, so as to realize convolutional substitution, accurately simulated the float's force in waves and analyzed its dynamic performance carefully, but did not systematically give the influence of the oscillator on the model. Liu et al. [2] verified the accuracy of Simulink model by comparing with the time domain calculation results of AQWA based on the converted float dynamic equation in time domain. Based on the superposition model, Ma et al. [3] fitted the characteristic period corresponding to the maximum total power generation of the integrated system in irregular waves.

Coupling analysis is often involved in the pitch motion of PTO systems. Shen et al. [4] established the hydrodynamic model and coupled motion equation of the pitching float, Kang et al. [5] studied the frequency, magnitude and other important characteristics of the dynamic water pressure at different observation times by using discrete wavelet packet transformation. On this basis, for the power calculation of oscillating float wave energy device, Wang et al. [6] adopted simulated annealing algorithm to reduce the time complexity and innovatively proposed an improved particle swarm optimization algorithm to provide guidance for calculating the maximum output power of the system under complex motion.

To sum up, when calculating the output power of wave energy devices, most scholars adopted the time-frequency comprehensive analysis method to simulate the damping of ocean waves by using the single-wave first-order linear excitation, so as to predict the maximum power generation [7-8]. Through analysis, the prediction accuracy of this method depends on the stability of the coupling system. Based on the above research methods, this paper intends to solve the maximum output power of the system by analyzing the moment of inertia and the comprehensive moment and establishing multivariate second-order differential equations based on genetic algorithm.

The follow-up arrangement of this paper is as follows: The first part mainly introduces the wave energy device, and establishes the heave-pitch motion model and the system output power optimization model. The second part mainly calculates the motion parameters and output power of the system. The third part summarizes the scheme.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Wave energy device

The structure diagram of the wave energy device is quoted from question A of the 2022 Higher Education Society Cup National College Students Mathematical Contest in Modeling, as shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. The float is composed of a cylindrical shell and a conical shell with uniformly distributed mass. The connecting part of the two shells has a spacer layer, which is used as the support surface of the installation of the central shaft; the vibrator is a cylinder threaded through the central shaft and connected to the base of the central shaft by a PTO system (including springs, dampers). Under the action of the two, the float moves and drives the vibrator to move, and through the relative motion of the two, the damper is driven to do work, and the work done is output as energy. The system parameters are shown in Table 1.



Fig. 1. Wave energy device



Fig. 2. Heave-pitch PTO system

273

Float mass	4866 kg	Height of vibrator	0.5m	
Float bottom radius	1 m	Density of seawater	1025 kg/m ³	
Height of float cylinder	3 m	Gravity acceleration	9.8 m/s ²	
Height of float cone	0.8 m	Spring stiffness	80000 N/m	
Mass of vibrator	2433 kg	Original length of spring	0.5 m	
Radius of vibrator	0.5 m	Torsion spring stiffness	250000 N·m	
Hydrostatic restoring moment coefficient		8890.7 N·m		

Table 1. PTO system parameter table

2.2. Heave-pitch compound motion

2.2.1. Compound motion model of float-PTO-vibrator system

1) Calculate the moment of inertia of the vibrator:

Considering that the vibrator is composed of two core components, spring and damper, the isolation modeling method is innovatively adopted in this paper, ignoring other external load effects of the vibrator, and focusing on analyzing the force of the core component, so as to approximate the operation of the vibrator in the sea wave. The vibrator model is shown in Fig. 3.

Let the vibrator rotates around the y axis. The moment of inertia when it rotates laterally around the particle is:

$$I_{zy'} = \frac{m}{12} (3R^2 + h^2), \tag{1}$$

where, m is the mass of a solid cylinder, R is the radius of the cylinder, and h is the height of the cylinder.

It is known that the length of the spring in equilibrium is 0.298 m, and the distance from the barycenter of the vibrator to point C is:

$$d = 0.298 + \frac{h}{2} + \frac{z_2(t)}{\cos\theta_2}.$$
 (2)

From the axis theorem, the moment of inertia of the vibrator to point C is known:

$$I_{zy} = I_y + md^2 = 202.75 + 2433 \left(0.548 + \frac{Z_2(t)}{\cos\theta_2} \right)^2.$$
(3)
$$\int_{\text{Damper c}} \int_{\text{Spring k}} \int$$

2) Calculate the moment of inertia of the float:

1. Calculation of the barycenter of the float. According to the symmetry, the barycenter of the float is on the Z axis, and the static moment about the Z axis is:

$$\overline{z} = \frac{\iint_{S} z\rho_f ds}{M_f} = 2.2079 \, m. \tag{4}$$

2. Calculate the moment of inertia of the system. Now the float is divided into three regions for integration:

$$I_{1} = \int_{z=3.8; x^{2}+y^{2} \le 1} y^{2} + (3.8 - \overline{z})^{2} ds,$$

$$I_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{x^{2}+y^{2}=1; 0.8 \le z \le 3.8} ds + \int_{0.8}^{3.8} 2\pi (z - \overline{z})^{2} dz,$$

$$I_{3} = \iint_{z=\frac{4}{5}\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}; 0 \le z \le 0.8}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (x^{2} + y^{2}) + z^{2} - 2\overline{z}z + \overline{z}^{2}\right] ds.$$
(5)

To sum up, the moment of inertia of the float around the Y axis is:

$$I_{fy} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 = 8399.0928 \text{ kg. m}^2.$$
 (6)

- 3) Force analysis of system pitch motion:
- 1. Wave excitation torque: $L_e = L\cos\omega t$.
- 2. Wave damping torque: $L_b = B_2 \omega_1(t)$.
- 3. Still water recovery torque: $L_h = B_3 \theta_1$.
- 4. Additional inertia torque: $L_g = A_2 \alpha_1(t)$.
- 5. Spring torque: $L_t = k_2 (\theta_1(t) \theta_2(t)).$

6. Rotational damping torque: $L_z = c_2 (\omega_1(t) - \omega_2(t)).$

Where, L is the amplitude of wave excitation torque, and ω is the wave angular frequency. B_2 is the proportional coefficient of wave-making damping torque and float angular velocity, and $\omega_1(t)$ is the angular velocity of the float. B_3 is the proportional coefficient between the still water restoring force and the float angle θ_1 . A_1 is the additional inertial mass of the float, $\alpha_1(t)$ is the angular acceleration of the float along the rotating shaft. k_2 is the torsion coefficient of the spring, $\theta_1(t)$ and $\theta_2(t)$ are the angular displacement of the float and vibrator. c_2 is the rotational damping coefficient, $\omega_1(t)$ and $\omega_2(t)$ are the angular velocities of the float and vibrator.

As shown in Fig. 4. The isolation method is used to analyze the force of the system.

The dynamic equation of the system is:

$$\begin{cases} m_1 a_1(t) = F_e - F_h - F_b - \frac{F_g}{\cos\theta_1} - F_t - F_z, \\ m_2 a_2(t) = F_t + F_z, \\ I_1 \alpha_1(t) = L_t + L_z + L_e - L_b - L_h - L_g, \\ I_2 \alpha_2(t) = -L_t - L_z. \end{cases}$$
(7)

Select the appropriate initial conditions:

$$\begin{cases} z_1(0) = 0, & z_2(0) = 0, & v_1(0) = 0, & v_2(0) = 0, \\ \theta_1(0) = 0, & \theta_2(0) = 0, & \omega_1(0) = 0, & \omega_2(0) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(8)



Fig. 4. Force analysis diagram of float isolation

2.2.2. Optimization model of system output power

1) Optimization objectives. The damping design of PTO system is carried out to maximize the output power of the system.

Linear damping applies instantaneous work:

$$W_{z} = c_{1} (v_{1}(t) - v_{2}(t)) d(z_{1}(t) - z_{2}(t)).$$
(9)

Rotational damping applies instantaneous work:

$$W_{x} = c_{2} \big(\omega_{1}(t) - \omega_{2}(t) \big) d \big(\theta_{1}(t) - \theta_{2}(t) \big).$$
(10)

The average output power of the objective function is obtained as follows:

$$\bar{P} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(c_1 (v_1(t) - v_2(t))^2 + c_2 (\omega_1(t) - \omega_2(t))^2 \right) dt.$$
(11)

275

2) Decision variables and constraints. Based on the optimization objective, the decision variables of PTO system are set as linear damping coefficient c_1 and rotational damping coefficient c_2 . The constraint condition is the variation interval of damping coefficient [0, 100000].

3) Model synthesis. To sum up, the dual-objective optimization model can be established as follows:

$$\min \bar{P}(c_1, c_2) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left(c_1 \left(v_1(t) - v_2(t) \right)^2 + c_2 \left(\omega_1(t) - \omega_2(t) \right)^2 \right) dt,$$

s.t.
$$\begin{cases} 0 \le c_1 \le 100000, \\ 0 \le c_2 \le 100000. \end{cases}$$
 (12)

3. Results and analysis

3.1. Heave-pitch (angular) displacement and (angular) velocity

The following external load parameters are comprehensively considered: incident wave frequency, heave additional mass, pitch additional moment of inertia, heave wave damping coefficient, pitch wave damping coefficient, heave excitation force amplitude, and pitch excitation moment amplitude. The trajectory following of Matlab is used to draw the motion images of the float and the vibrator that are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6.



Observing the image, it is found that the displacement and angular displacement of the vibrator lag behind the float for half a period, and both of them gradually stabilize from the initial oscillation. For angular displacement, there is a small fluctuation around 80 s; however, the abnormal fluctuation occurs for a short time and the fluctuation value is small. To sum up, the composite moving image has a high fitting degree.

Calculation results of the float and the vibrator are shown in Table 2.

In the table, the left side of the dividing line is the motion parameter of the float, and the right side is the motion parameter of the vibrator. Through analysis, the heave (angular) velocity and pitch (angular) velocity of the float and vibrator all change with the period of time course, and the period is approximately 40 s; The pitch motion of the vibrator has less influence on the heave motion than that of the float. Therefore, in practical engineering, the float material can be

optimized to enhance its anti-rotation damping performance, so as to improve the stability of the system.

Table 2. I tolt and violator s neaving and pitching (angle) displacement (angle) velocity value								
Time Heaving		Heaving speed		Pitching angular		Pitching angular		
	displac	ement (m)	(m/s)		displacement (rad)		speed (s ⁻¹)	
(\$)	Float	Vibrator	Float	Vibrator	Float	Vibrator	Float	Vibrator
10	0.12	0.09	-0.34	-0.53	-0.59	-0.01	-0.10	-0.10
20	-0.21	-0.37	-0.99	-0.18	-0.14	-0.14	-0.27	-0.03
40	0.02	0.01	0.35	0.54	0.26	0.03	0.11	0.10
60	0.27	0.44	-0.16	-0.21	0.89	0.09	-0.45	-0.46
100	-0.13	-0.24	0.33	0.49	-0.31	-0.03	0.92	0.09

Table 2. Float and vibrator's heaving and pitching (angle) displacement – (angle) velocity value

3.2. System output power of compound motion

In this paper, genetic algorithm and adaptive differential evolution algorithm are used, combined with population size, crossover probability, fitness function, the calculation results are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Global optimal solution of PTO system with complex motion

	1				•
Upper bound of system	Optima	al damping coefficient	/ (N·s/m)	Globa	al maximum output power / W
The damping constant is 10000.		99632			382 1
The power exponent is 1.		JJ052			562.1

4. Conclusions

In this paper, a two-objective optimization model is established based on PTO system, and the mechanical motion of complex system is decomposed into the independent motion of float and vibrator. The isolation method is used to calculate the force of the float and the vibrator respectively, and the corresponding multivariate second-order differential equations are established. Finally, based on adaptive differential evolution algorithm and genetic algorithm, the maximum average output power of PTO system in the complex motion of heave and pitch is obtained.

Acknowledgements

The authors have not disclosed any funding.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] S. Charitini, G. Anders, and K. Eirini, "Fast time-domain model for the preliminary design of a wave power farm," *Renewable Energy*, Vol. 219, No. Part 2, pp. 2–4, 2023.
- [2] Y. J. Liu, A. W. Peng, and M. Y. Huang, "Research progress on PTO system of ocean wave power generation device," *Journal of Solar Energy*, Vol. 10, pp. 3–8, 2023, https://doi.org/10.19912/j.0254-0096.tynxb.2022-1229

- [3] Z. Ma, "Study on hydrodynamic characteristics of oscillating float wave power generation device," Ocean University of China, 2013.
- [4] J. H. Shen, Z. J. Cai, and S. H. Zhou, "Design model and solution of wave energy maximum output power," *Mathematical Modeling and Application*, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 27–33, 2023, https://doi.org/10.19943/j.2095-3070.jmmia.2023.01.04
- [5] H. B. Kang and H. K. Kim, "Wave data analysis for wave energy power in namae coast," *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, Vol. 3546, pp. 672–674, 2014.
- [6] D. L. Wang, J. Li, and W. Yang, "Power calculation analysis and parameter design of oscillating float wave energy device," *Journal of Harbin Engineering University*, Vol. 2, pp. 1–8, 2024.
- [7] Q. Zhang and Z. Meng, "Adaptive differential evolution algorithm based on deeply-informed mutation strategy and restart mechanism," *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, Vol. 126, No. Part C, pp. 3–18, 2023.
- [8] D. Žieliński and D. Grzechca, "The effect of cable aging on surge arresters designed by genetic algorithm," *Applied Sciences*, Vol. 13, No. 20, pp. 3–7, 2023.